A Defunct Lead-Acid Battery Recycling May Lead Strong Soil Pollution: A Case of Study in Mexico
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Abstract

Inadequate waste management from the lead-acid battery recycling (LABR) industry can severely pollute the soil. This work aimed to assess heavy metal contamination of a defunct LABR site in Mexico. Total, DTPA-extractable, water-soluble metal concentrations and soil fractionation were analyzed. Speciation of Na and Pb was calculated by Geochemist's workbench 10 Software package based on soil solution analysis. Spatial distribution of soil features are presented in maps by the Kriging method. Total Pb and Cu concentrations reached up to 474,105 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} and 3,911 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, representing the greatest risk at the site. The highest DTPA-extractable Pb concentration was 12,000 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, and the water-soluble Pb was 4.5 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}. High concentrations of available PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-} (1,354 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}), SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} (34,086 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) and Na (33,101 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) were found. Lead fraction decreased as follow: Exchangeable (284,580 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) > bound on to sulfides > weakly bound to OM > bound onto Fe and Mn oxides > residual phase > strongly bound to OM. The predominant chemical species in soil solution were Pb\textsuperscript{2+} (up to 86%) and Na\textsuperscript{+} (99%).

Keywords

Lead distribution, Copper, Salinity, Lead speciation

Introduction

Lead-acid batteries (LAB) are widely used for many industries and are the source of electric energy in every single vehicle. LAB are built with metal grids (25% to 30% of their weight), electrode paste (35% to 45%), sulfuric acid solution (10% to 15%), connectors and poles of Pb alloy, grid separators made up of PVC (5% to 8%), ebonite (1% to 3%) and a plastic case. LAB cells are composed of a Pb electrode (anode) and a Pb oxide electrode (cathode) immersed in a solution of sulfuric acid, metallic grids and connections [1], for this reason, used-batteries are considered as hazardous waste.

Lead-acid battery recycling (LABR) attempts to recover Pb and plastic from old batteries. In the USA, 99% of the LAB is recycled, because the efficiency of Pb recovery in 1990 was up to 95% [2]. Globally, 80% of the Pb produced is destined for LAB manufacturing and 95% of Pb used in batteries comes from the recycling process. Lead recycling has economic and ecological advantages but some disadvantages, one disadvantage is the release of Pb to the environment. A fraction of the Pb from batteries remains in the produced sludge as PbSO\textsubscript{4}, PbO\textsubscript{2}, and PbO.PbSO\textsubscript{4} [3], which can be disposed in the soil. Therefore, inadequate LABR process and residue disposal may seriously pollute soil and groundwater [4] as occur in some developing countries. Hence, workers engaged in LABR are frequently exposed to contaminants because they often manipulate the material by hand without safety equipment [5]. Lead is considered as the second priority risk substance at sites in the USA National Priorities List [6]. The world most seriously polluted sites (in 2012 and 2015) are commonly related to the LABR industry and Pb deposition [5,7].

The present research involves the soil of a LABR factory which was closed by the Mexican Environmental Agency (MEA), due to complaints from residents of the neighborhood. This agency suspected high Pb concentrations in soil. Nevertheless, there were no reports, files or other available
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information about the procedure used for recycling neither the batteries nor the protocol for waste management. As a result, the MEA needs an environmental assessment of the defunct LABR site.

Precise information about the distribution and dynamics of the pollutants in the soil is required to define a remediation strategy. This study aimed to assess the concentrations of metals and salts and their spatial variability in the soil at a defunct LABR factory site located in Tepetlaoxtoc, Mexico.

**Materials and Methods**

**Soil sampling**

The study area is located at central Mexico (19.550831, -98.794714) in a former recycling factory of lead-acid batteries; the factory was near to the Hondo creek. The soil survey was carried out using a systematical sampling procedure [8]. A grid of 5 × 5 m was laid out and at each intercept composite soil samples (5-20 cm depth) were taken (a total of 54 samples). Six additional composite non-polluted soil samples were taken near to the LABR site to determine natural soil heavy metal concentrations.

**Fertility and soil solution analyses**

Immediately after soil collection, oxide-reduction potential (ORP) was measured in soil samples in a 1: 2.5 soil: Water ratio slurry with a pH meter (Thermo Orion 420 A) using an ORP electrode. The equipment was calibrated using Light’s solution [9]. After ORP determination, the soil was air-dried and sieved (≤ 2 mm) before other analyses.

Soil samples were air dried and sieved for the analysis of soil features, Pb and Cu concentrations. Plant available nutrients: Phosphorus [10], sulfate [11] and N (KCl extraction and Kjeldahl distillation) [12] were measured. Besides, total carbonates was determined by the calcimeter method [13] and organic matter (OM) by the loss on ignition procedure [14].

Electrical conductivity (EC), pH and oxide reduction potential (ORP) were measured as mentioned above in liquid organic matter (OM) by the loss on ignition procedure [14].

Heavy metal soil concentrations

Total metal concentrations were determined after acid digestion in HNO₃·HClO₄·H₂O, (3:1:1) mixture (modified 3050B) [19]. The extractable metals were determined with DTPA-TEA-CaCl₂ solution (DTPA-extractable) in a 1:5 soil: Solution ratio [20]. Bioavailability index [21] of the metals was calculated as follows: [(DTPA-TEA-CaCl₂ extractable concentration/total metal concentration)*100]. Saturated paste extract was prepared to measure the soluble salts and metals in soil.

Fractionation of metals was analyzed by sequential extraction following the Pagnanelli modified method [22]. The fractions obtained were: Exchangeable (leq); bound onto Fe and Mn oxides (i_TOM), weakly bound to OM (i_OM); firmly bound to OM (i_OM) and linked to sulfide phase (i). The last stage of the fractionation was the residual fraction (i). Acid digestion of the samples with 5 mL of HNO₃·HClO₄·H₂O (3:1:1) mixture. Metals were quantified by flame atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer model 3110).

**Geostatistical analysis**

Standarized semivariogram was calculated to describe the way the spatial variation of Pb and Cu soil concentrations changes along the distance separation any two points varies. Ordinary block Kriging was used to estimate the values at unsampled places, and their validations were performed with semivariograms. Graphic representations of Pb and Cu concentration in all the terrain were generated (Figure 1). The statistical package used was R 3.1.3 with the libraries gstat, sp, maptools, grDevices and raster [23].

**Data analysis**

To evaluate the potential risk of Pb, Cu and Cd accumulat- ing in the top soil, the potential mobile fractions (PMF) weakly bound to soil components was calculated. High values suggested the tendency entering to the food chain.

\[
PMF = \frac{F_{leq}}{\sum F_{Fr}} \times 100
\]

Speciation in saturation paste extracts was carried out simulating the soil solution using Geochemist’s Work bench 10 software. Correlations were performed with Spearman or Pearson method depending on the assumptions satisfied by the variables. P-values were used to validate these correlations. The statistical software used was R 3.1.3 [23].

**Results**

Contrasting to the landscape, the soil was completely naked, even this very close to the river; no vegetation was growing, with salt spots on the soil surface.

**Soil chemical properties**

Soil pH was alkaline, where 68% of the samples had pH > 7 and 9% pH > 8.5 (Table 1). The ground showed oxidizing conditions (ORP: 300-600 mV; Figure S1). The soil was saline (EC > 4 dS m⁻¹). In high proportion (55%) of the samples the PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, Cl and Na⁺ concentrations were high, contributing to the EC increment. Taking into account SAR and EC values at...
Table 1: Salt concentrations and electrochemical properties in soil solution (saturation extracts) from a defunct LABR site. The proportions of samples exceeding recommended values are presented in brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Recommended Value in soil</th>
<th>Value in soil</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Recommended Value in soil (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Value in soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5-7.0</td>
<td>6.0-9.4 (68%)*</td>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>See PAR and SAR</td>
<td>0-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (ds m⁻¹)</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
<td>0.3-65.3 (56%)*</td>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>&lt; 10 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>44.3-8.050 (47%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORP (mV)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>212-374</td>
<td>CO₃⁻</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0-552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄³⁻ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.1-7.2</td>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0-420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>88-47.130 (100%)*</td>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>SAR &lt; 12</td>
<td>74-33.101 (94%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>See PAR and SAR</td>
<td>1-178</td>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>PAR = 6-8</td>
<td>2-256 (100%)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 34, terrain surface = 1024 m².
EC: Electrical conductivity.
ORP: Oxidation reduction potential
SAR: Sodium adsorption ratio.
PAR: Potassium adsorption ratio.

*Percentage of samples above the average in soil solution according to normal values presented by Marschner and Rengel [24].

*Percentage of samples above the maximum recommended SAR value [31].

*Percentage of samples outside the recommended PAR value [25].

Table 2: Metal concentrations (mg kg⁻¹) and percentage (in brackets) of soil metal fractions of the defunct LABR site in Mexico.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EX</td>
<td>5.666-284.580</td>
<td>0-248</td>
<td>11-156</td>
<td>1-1.984</td>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>1-268</td>
<td>2-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(43-97)</td>
<td>(0-35)</td>
<td>(6-31)</td>
<td>(0-85)</td>
<td>(0-63)</td>
<td>(0-3)</td>
<td>(8-44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeMn</td>
<td>59-46.278</td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>30-221</td>
<td>2-339</td>
<td>0-16</td>
<td>74-30.130</td>
<td>7-181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0-21)</td>
<td>(0-63)</td>
<td>(27-69)</td>
<td>(2-46)</td>
<td>(0-40)</td>
<td>(2-51)</td>
<td>(17-46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOM</td>
<td>45-73.642</td>
<td>2-18</td>
<td>3-95</td>
<td>1-132</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>6-21.335</td>
<td>1-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0-30)</td>
<td>(5-90)</td>
<td>(1-17)</td>
<td>(3-70)</td>
<td>(2-37)</td>
<td>(0-53)</td>
<td>(3-44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM</td>
<td>33-7.506</td>
<td>0-1.063</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0-24</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>1-13</td>
<td>2-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0-0.2)</td>
<td>(0-25)</td>
<td>(0-1)</td>
<td>(0-4)</td>
<td>(3-29)</td>
<td>(0-0)</td>
<td>(1-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.494-147.690</td>
<td>5-1.757</td>
<td>8-168</td>
<td>7-194</td>
<td>0-19</td>
<td>1.442-19.554</td>
<td>1-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2-39)</td>
<td>(2-74)</td>
<td>(8-34)</td>
<td>(3-42)</td>
<td>(8-34)</td>
<td>(7-94)</td>
<td>(3-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>64-30.555</td>
<td>0-1.063</td>
<td>25-250</td>
<td>9-65</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>27-10.629</td>
<td>2-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0-22)</td>
<td>(1-13)</td>
<td>(7-36)</td>
<td>(1-73)</td>
<td>(8-35)</td>
<td>(1-62)</td>
<td>(4-21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.792-444.105</td>
<td>9-3.911</td>
<td>94-696</td>
<td>24-2.337</td>
<td>5-57</td>
<td>2.133-59.606</td>
<td>24-408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NP</td>
<td>306 ± 30</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
<td>33 ± 9</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>1.000 ± 374</td>
<td>28 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabata-Pendas¹</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14-109</td>
<td>411-550</td>
<td>60-89</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>13-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabata-Pendas²</td>
<td>50-300</td>
<td>60-500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200-1.500</td>
<td>2-20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75-150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EX: Exchangeable; FeMn: Bound onto Fe and Mn oxides; WOM: Weakly bound to organic matter; SOM: Strongly bound to organic matter; S: Bound to sulfide phase; R: Bound to the residual phase.
Total NP: Soil heavy metal concentrations in the non-polluted surrounding area of the LABR site.

¹Normal total soil concentrations of elements [32].
²Maximum allowable total concentrations for heavy metals in agricultural soils [32].

the LABR site, 57% of the soil surface was saline-sodic; 34% is sodic and only 9% was not affected by salts nor Na (Table 1).

Organic matter (OM) content varied from 0.8% to 13.4%. The carbonate content was from 0.2% to 3.6%; the highest concentrations were found on the eastern part of the site. Plant-available PO₄³⁻ and SO₄²⁻ were detected in high concentrations; they ranged from 19 to 1,354 mg kg⁻¹ and 3.8 to 34,086 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Available N was low in all samples, from 0 mg kg⁻¹ to 5 mg kg⁻¹ of NH₄⁺ and from 0 mg kg⁻¹ to 5 mg kg⁻¹ of NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻. However, NH₄⁺ was detected only in samples from the north part of the field. The site reaction was alkaline and have poor fertility [24, 25].

Total heavy metal concentrations

The total metal concentration decreased as follows Pb>Fe>Cu>Zn>Mn>Ni>Cd (Table 2). These values were higher...
than the concentrations of the non-polluted sites in the vicinity of the LABR site; and up to 1,451, 782, 19 and 15 times for Pb, Cu, Cd, and Ni, respectively (Figure 1a). The soil is polluted with Pb, Fe, Cu and Zn. Apparently, these elements were introduced as a result of the dispersion of the battery slurry (Figure 1).

### Heavy metal fractionation

The highest Pb concentrations were observed in the exchangeable fraction (Table 2). The general sequence in soil fractions decreased in the following order: Pb\textsubscript{ex}>Pb\textsubscript{WOM}>Pb\textsubscript{rsw}>Pb\textsubscript{sow}. Exchangeable Pb was spatially distributed with a pattern similar to total Pb concentrations. Lead concentration decreased gradually from the south to the north side of the site (Figure 1a, Figure 1b and Table 2).

Positive correlations of Pb\textsubscript{ex} with SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} (0.528, p = 0.024), PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-} (0.749, p = 0.001) and OM (0.641, p = 0.004) were found. Strongly bound to OM Pb was correlated positively with pH (0.492, p = 0.039) and similarly Pb\textsubscript{rsw} with SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} (0.510, p = 0.024). Pb\textsubscript{WOM} ranged from 0.1% to 31.6%. In contrast, low concentration of Pb\textsubscript{sow} was observed (< 2% of total Pb).

Copper concentrations showed the following distribution: Cu\textsubscript{s}>Cu\textsubscript{WOM}>Cu\textsubscript{rsw}>Cu\textsubscript{SOM} for Cu\textsubscript{s} and Cu\textsubscript{WOM} for Cu\textsubscript{WOM}. A positive correlation was found between Cu\textsubscript{s} and OM content (0.71, p = 0.001); Cu\textsubscript{rsw} with OM (0.72, p = 0.001) and with SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} (0.79, p = 0.001). Similarly, Cu\textsubscript{sow} was correlated with OM content (0.67, p = 0.002) and Cu\textsubscript{rsw} with SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations (0.680, p = 0.001).

Concentrations of Cd decreased as follows: Cd\textsubscript{s}>Cd\textsubscript{rsw}>Cd\textsubscript{WOM} = Cd\textsubscript{SOM}, where Cd\textsubscript{s} was up to 63% of the total Cd. Concentrations of Fe and Mn forming oxides (Fe\textsubscript{rsw} and Mn\textsubscript{rsw}) varied from 74 to 30,130 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} for Fe and 30 to 221 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} for Mn. Up to 21% and 63% of total Pb and Cu was bound to Fe and Mn oxides (Table 2).

Nickel concentration in soil fractions decreased as follows: Ni\textsubscript{rsw}>Ni\textsubscript{s}>Ni\textsubscript{S}>Ni\textsubscript{WOM}>Ni\textsubscript{SOM}. The Zn concentration followed this order: Zn\textsubscript{rsw}>Zn\textsubscript{S}>Zn\textsubscript{WOM}>Zn\textsubscript{s}>Zn\textsubscript{k}>Zn\textsubscript{SOM}, where Zn\textsubscript{rsw} ranged from 24 to 2,337 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}.

### DTPA-extractable metals

DTPA-extractable concentrations were variable: Pb concentrations varied from 154 to 12,000 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} and Cu ranged from 0.01 to 1,936 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, with similar distribution patterns (Figures 1c and 1d). The highest values were at the south of the site. DTPA-extractable Cu concentrations correlated negatively with OM contents (-0.722, p = 0.005). Bioavailability index ranged 1% to 66% for Pb, 1% to 54% for Cu, 1% to 25% for Zn, 1% to 8% for Ni, 0% to 10% for Mn, 0% to 25% for Cd and 0% to 4% for Fe. Besides, bioavailability index of Pb was negatively correlated with OM (-0.570, p = 0.021). Low concentrations of DTPA-extractable Fe, Mn, Zn, Ni, and Cd were found in all samples; soluble concentrations of Mn, Zn, Fe and Cu in some samples were lower than detection limits.

### Chemical speciation of Pb and Na in the soil solution

Soluble Pb concentrations ranged from 0.024 to 4.650 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, where higher concentrations were detected in the southern part of the site (Figure 1e). The Pb\textsuperscript{2+} ion was present in all pH values tested and was the predominant species at low pH. SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} and Cl\textsuperscript{-} had a strong influence on Pb speciation in solution. Formation of Pb-Cl complexes can be observed at the highest Pb concentrations, and Pb-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} at the lowest pH values. In the soil solution with the lowest Pb concentrations (at pH = 8.25), 100% of the Pb was bound to CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} (PbCO\textsubscript{3}(aq) and Pb(CO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}) (Table S1).

The highest Na concentration in soil solution (33,101 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) corresponded to the lowest pH (6.0) at the site. Na was present mainly as a free ion (Na\textsuperscript{+} = 97%) and in minor proportion was associated with Cl\textsuperscript{-}, CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} and OH\textsuperscript{-}: The lowest sodium concentration was observed at the higher pH (8.5): The free ion was predominant and the second species was Na associated to Cl\textsuperscript{-}, CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} and PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-}.

### Discussion

#### Soil fertility, pH and salinity

Low concentrations of N (0 to 5 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) and OM (1.7%) showed poor soil fertility [25]. Therefore, it is needed to amend soil to support plant growth. The soil was alkaline (pH up to 9.4, Table 1) despite the parent material of the area (welded tuff and volcanic ashes) [26]. High concentrations of Cl\textsuperscript{-} at the site (8,050 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}; Table 1) increase pH, apparently because Cl\textsuperscript{-} ions on positively charged sites of soil particles, and OH\textsuperscript{-} remains free [27]. High Na concentrations (33,101 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) can also contribute to alkalizing the soil.

The source of Na is probably for the use of NaOH to neutralize H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} in the slurry to Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} during the Pb\textsuperscript{2+} recuperation in LAB recycling [28]. Sodium bicarbonate (Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}) is used to transform PbSO\textsubscript{4} to PbCO\textsubscript{3} [29]. These processes also explain the high SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations (up to 47,130 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, Table 1). Inadequate management of old batteries and Na compounds used for recycling and scant disposal of residues were performed by the LABR factory which operated at the site. Furthermore, it is well known that Na\textsuperscript{+} excess promotes soil particles deflocculation and breakdown of aggregates [14]. Particle dispersion influences Pb mobilization by wind or rain which may easily transport the soil particles.

Phosphorus concentrations (4.1-7.2 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}) were above the typical soil concentrations (0.05 to 0.5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}) [30]. Similarly, plant available PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-} concentration (19 to 1,354 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) were also excessive (> 50 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) [30]. These concentrations may be due to the use of H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} as the electrolyte in some batteries. Other important parameters concerning soil salinity are SAR and PAR. At the LABR site, these parameters were above recommended values (Table 1). High SAR values suggest that there is not enough Ca and Mg to compete with Na on the exchange surfaces in the soil [15]. That means 85% of the samples had SAR > 102, which is the maximum value proposed for very tolerant crops [31]. Moreover, PAR gives...
Figure 1: Spatial distribution of a) DTPA-TEA-CaCl$_2$ extractable Pb; b) exchangeable Pb; c) water-soluble Pb; d) total Pb and; e) DTPA-TEA-CaCl$_2$ extractable Cu. Colors in right bars represent the concentration (mg kg$^{-1}$). The semivariograms are shown at the right side; x-axis represents the distance in meters and y-axis the semivariance (some semivariances correspond to transformed values to achieve assumptions).

structural stability and K retention in soils. Therefore, the poor balance of Na, K, Ca and Mg ions in the site difficult the LABR soil management.
Total metal concentrations

Total concentrations of Pb, Cu, Cd, and Ni in the LABR soil were up to 8,882, 50, 29 and five times higher, respectively than values referenced by Kabata-Pendas [32] for agricultural lands (Table 2). Possibly, high Cu, Cd and Ni concentrations (up to 3911, 57 and 408 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively) come from alloys, batteries grids, and poles. In the same way, total Pb concentrations (up to 444,105 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) exceeded regulatory limits of the country by 27 - 1110 times. The thresholds for residential land use in the USA range from 500 to 1000 mg kg\(^{-1}\). While in Canada this is 375 mg kg\(^{-1}\), in The Netherlands is between 50 to 600 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and in England is 500 mg kg\(^{-1}\) [33].

Hence, total Pb concentrations at LABR soil are comparable with soils polluted by LABR in other regions of the world. Some of the most polluted sites have up to 400,000 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (40%) of Pb [5, 7]. Similarly, Mohammed, et al. [4] found a soil containing up to 70% of Pb. Wasay, et al. [34] observed 24,600 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (2.46%) of Pb in soil due to the disposal of wastes of a LABR in Canada. In the same way, Yeh, et al. [35] reported a value of 3,590 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Pb in soil near a LABR factory in Taiwan. Rodríguez, et al. [36] found 1,050 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Pb total concentration near a LABR facility in Argentina. Total soil Pb concentrations in LABR site makes necessary intervention to reduce the risk for public health and environmental damage.

The observed total Pb, Cu, Ni, Cd and Zn concentrations in LABR soil suggest the impact of the free recycling process and inadequate waste management from this factory. Furthermore, the spatial total Pb distribution (Figure 1a) shows that the south zone of the site was the most polluted. Probably used slurry was disposed of in this part of the terrain.

Soil heavy metals fractionation

High Pb\(_{ox}\) concentrations in the soil (up to 284,580 mg kg\(^{-1}\); Table 2) makes this metal the main risk in the site. The major Pb proportion was found in an exchangeable form (44 to 97%). In another soil affected by LABR, Rodríguez, et al. [36] found a soil containing up to 70% of Pb. Wasay, et al. [34] observed 24,600 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (2.46%) of Pb in soil due to the disposal of wastes of a LABR in Canada. In the same way, Yeh, et al. [35] reported a value of 3,590 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Pb in soil near a LABR factory in Taiwan. Rodríguez, et al. [36] found 1,050 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Pb total concentration near a LABR facility in Argentina. Total soil Pb concentrations in LABR site makes necessary intervention to reduce the risk for public health and environmental damage.

The observed total Pb, Cu, Ni, Cd and Zn concentrations in LABR soil suggest the impact of the free recycling process and inadequate waste management from this factory. Furthermore, the spatial total Pb distribution (Figure 1a) shows that the south zone of the site was the most polluted. Probably used slurry was disposed of in this part of the terrain.

DTPA-extractable metals

A similar spatial pattern of Pb (DTPA-extractable, extractable, water-soluble and total) and DTPA-extractable Cu (Figure 1) shows that south part of the site was the most affected by heavy metal pollution. Possibly due that to the slurry disposal was performed at the south side of the terrain.

The negative correlation found between Pb bioavailability index and OM content (-0.570, p = 0.021) may be due to the influence of OM on Pb bioavailability in LABR soil. Lead can be strongly adsorbed by OM and fixed as non-extractable forms or complexed with humic substances [43]. The addition of organic amendments to the soil may be a strategy to reduce Pb availability. Nevertheless high DTPA-extractable Pb concentrations are observed in the LABR site; which may be due to the saturation of OM adsorbent sites.

Pb and Na chemical speciation in the soil solution

Oxidizing (ORP: 300-600 mV; Table 1) and alkaline (pH > 7.4) conditions were predominantly observed in soil samples. Although the presence of [PbOH]+ and [PbO4]2− species [43] in LABR soil solution was expected. The pH only allows the PbOH]+ species (3.51% of the total Pb in solution) in the paste saturation extract (Table S1).

The Pb concentration in soil solution was low the significant proportion of this metal was in the saturation paste extract (up to 85% of the Pb in solution) remained as a free divalent ion (Pb2+). Other chemical species such as PbOH)+, Pb(CO3)+2, Pb(OH)n(aq) and Pb(SO4)n2− were in minor concentrations. The occurrence of different Pb species is related to Pb mobility in soil. For instance, Wang [44] found that Pb2+ is less adsorbed on soils than Pb(OH)+. In the same way, Nedwed and Clifford [34] mentioned that CO3)+2 or CaO could lead to the formation of Pb(CO3)+2(OH)n, PbCO3 or Pb4SO4(CO3)+2(OH)n; these species could reduce Pb mobility. In LABR site, CO3)+2 was found in all samples and Pb associates with the mentioned anion at high pH (8.25 and 9.38; Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, in the soil with the lowest
pH (6.05), Pb was associated predominantly to SO$_4^{2-}$ (55.76% and 39.27% of the total Pb in the solution for Pb(SO$_4$)$_3$ and PbSO$_4(aq)$, respectively). However, despite high SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration in saturation paste extract (47.130 mg kg$^{-1}$), low concentrations of Pb(SO$_4$)$_2$ and PbSO$_4(aq)$ (1.27 and 0.89 mg kg$^{-1}$, respectively) may be explained by the low solubility of compounds formed between Pb and SO$_4^{2-}$; and Pb competition with Ca$^{2+}$, Na$^+$ and K$^+$, cations with more affinity for SO$_4^{2-}$. Other possible reaction of SO$_4^{2-}$ is the reduction as sulfides, which can sequesterate heavy metals. Adsorption and precipitation of Pb on SO$_4^{2-}$ compounds are important buffer mechanisms for fixation and controlling the migration of Pb [45]. The fractionation shows a high proportion of Pb bound to sulfides (PbS); up to 39% of the total.

Sodium ion was the predominant species in soil solution (Table S1), but Na associated with CO$_3^{2-}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, PO$_4^{3-}$, Cl$^-$ was also present. There is scarce information regarding the effect of Na on speciation in soils metal bioavailability. Ghallab and Usman [46] found increased concentrations of Cd in solution due to NaCl additions; apparently, Cd was displaced by Na from exchange surfaces. In LABR soil solution, NaCl was present in high concentration (up to 943.8 mg L$^{-1}$). Hence, the influence of Na on heavy metals solubility should be further studied in LABR soil.

High Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations (Table 1) increase soil solution ionic strength (IS) in the LABR site. The IS ranged from 0.005 to 1.185 M values. While, in non-polluted soils, the IS ranged from 0.003 to 0.016 M [47]. Ionic strength leads to an increment of metal solubility because cations compete with metals for exchange sites and formation of metal-salt species with no charge or negative charge such as metal -Cl$_3$, metal -Cl$^-$ and metal -Cl$^{-1}$ complexes [48]. At the LABR soil, some Cl$^-$ metal species were found (Table S1). Hence, Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ could contribute to metal solubility.

**Conclusions**

The LABR activities at this site resulted in a heavily polluted soil due to: 1) Very high total Pb concentrations; which makes this site similar to others reported in the literature as the most world polluted sites by Pb; 2) Elevated total concentrations of Cu. High DTPA-extractable and exchangeable concentrations of Pb and Cu are very risky for plant establishment; and 3) Alkaline conditions and high concentrations of plant available SO$_4^{2-}$, PO$_4^{3-}$ in the soil solution, as well as water-soluble Cl$^-$ and Na$^+$. These hazards represent a potential risk to human health and the environment. One possible method to reduce concentrations of salts and heavy metals from solution is by leaching the soil. However, the process might demand large volumes of water and the resulting leachate will require treatment.

The fertility of the soil should be improved, due to the low N concentration. An organic addition could improve physical and chemical soil conditions, and fertility, besides could reduce availability and mobility of heavy metal. At this time, long time phytoremediation and biofuel experiments in the LABR site are being performed taking into account the information provided by the present research.
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Figure S1: Curves of pH-Eh (oxidation-reduction) and values observed in saturation paste extracts (soil solution) from a defunct LABR site. Vertical dotted lines represent the range of pH commonly found in soils [49].

Table S1: Speciation Pb and Na for contrasting conditions of paste saturation extracts from the defunct LABR soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Concentration in solution (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Percentage of the total in solution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest Pb concentration</strong></td>
<td>4.65 mg L$^{-1}$, pH = 6.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>85.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbCl$^-$</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>13.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbCl$_2$(aq)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lowest Pb concentration</strong></td>
<td>0.024 mg L$^{-1}$, pH = 8.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbCO$_3$(aq)</td>
<td>2.14 e$^2$</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(CO$_3$)$_2^-$</td>
<td>2.32 e$^3$</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb in the highest pH</td>
<td>2.65 mg L$^{-1}$, pH = 9.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(CO$_3$)$_2^-$</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>52.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbCO$_3$(aq)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>41.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbOH$^+$</td>
<td>9.31 e$^2$</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(OH)$_2$(aq)</td>
<td>7.310 e$^2$</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.02 e$^3$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbHCO$_3^-$</td>
<td>2.81 e$^3$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb in the lowest pH</td>
<td>2.274 mg L$^{-1}$, pH = 6.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pb$^{2+}$: 1.27, 35.79  
PbSO$_4$(aq): 8.93 e$^{-1}$, 39.27  
Pb$^{2+}$: 9.16 e$^{-2}$, 4.03  
PbCl$^-$: 7.88 e$^{-3}$, 0.35  
PbHCO$_3^{-}$: 1.27 e$^{-2}$, 0.56

At the highest Na concentration 33.101 mg L$^{-1}$, pH = 6.05

Na$^+$: 32.730, 97.18  
NaCl (aq): 943.8, 2.80  
NaHCO$_3$(aq): 3.8, 0.01  
NaCO$_3$: 1.7 e$^{-3}$, < 0.01  
NaOH(aq): 1.3 e$^{-4}$, < 0.01

At the lowest Na concentration 74 mg L$^{-1}$, pH = 8.5

Na$^+$: 73.8, 98.98  
NaHCO$_3$(aq): 0.4, 0.53  
NaHPO$_4$: 1.70 e$^{-1}$, 0.22  
NaCl (aq): 0.1, 0.13  
NaCO$_3$: 8.20 e$^{-2}$, 0.11  
NaHPO$_4$(aq): 3.80 e$^{-3}$, < 0.01

aq: Aqueous species.