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Abstract

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction with bone-patellar tendon-bone (BTB) autograft is a very common surgical procedure for patients with ACL deficient knees; however, it can be a technically challenging operation with possible intra-operative complications. Graft-tunnel mismatch (GTMM) is a condition in which the ACL BTB autograft does not match the intra-articular and tunnel length. GTMM can result in compromised fixation for bone-to-bone healing when using a BTB autograft. The use of BTB autografts in a patient with patella baja or alta can increase the likelihood of graft tunnel mismatch. Proper pre-operative planning can help avoid graft tunnel mismatch, however when facing mismatch there are techniques to salvage the graft and ensure bone-to-bone fixation.
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Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is a very common injury in active and athletic patients, and ACL reconstruction is the preferred surgical option for the ACL-deficient knee with functional instability. While there are multiple options for reconstruction grafts and fixation techniques, bone-patellar-tendon-bone (BTB) autologous grafts have long been considered the gold standard for graft options for the young and functioning patient [1]. ACL reconstruction with BTB autograft outcomes have shown superiority in positive quality of bone to bone fixation along with excellent clinical plus rehabilitation outcomes [1].

Dating back to 1963, Dr. Robert Jones first used a BTB autograft during an ACL reconstruction, and it has long been recognized as the preferred technique in ACL reconstruction [2]. The novel technique showed increased postoperative strength, stiffness and bone to bone healing [2]. Using BTB autografts have been reported to have greater tensile strength compared to the native ACL, to have significantly less anterior knee laxity and more stability compared to hamstring autografts [3-5]. BTB autograft revision rates have been reported to be significantly lower compared to hamstring autografts [6]. BTB autografts have also shown improved athletic function and a significant decrease risk in graft rupture at 2 years follow-up [7]. Aune, et al. [8] reported BTB autografts to have superior screw fixation compared to hamstring autografts, which allow for more optimal bone to bone healing and quicker return to rehabilitation. Krych, et al. [9] reported that BTB autografts experienced significantly less graft failure rates and a quicker return to play time. Autografts recoup 80% strength, while allografts regain only 50% of strength [10]. Key studies have all concluded that undergoing ACL reconstruction with BTB autografts demonstrated significantly lower rates of graft rupture, decreased levels of knee laxity, improved single-legged hop test results and increased satisfaction postoperatively [10-13].

ACL reconstruction with a BTB autograft does not come without risks [14]. Many orthopaedic surgeons will opt to not utilize BTB autografts due to the required advanced surgical technique and increased risk of anterior knee pain, patella fracture, patella baja, quadriceps shut down and long term risk of osteoarthritis [8,15,16]. Conclusively, BTB autografts have also been shown to increase the likelihood of graft-tunnel mismatch [17,18].

Graft Tunnel Mismatch (GTMM) is a condition in which the ACL graft length does not match the intra-articular and tibial tunnel length. This can be due to the ACL graft being too long (Figure 1a and Figure 1b) or too short (Figure 2). A
Incidence and prevalence

ACL injuries and ACL reconstruction methods have become some of the foremost studied research topics in Orthopaedic Surgery and Sports Medicine due to the extensive number of injuries in athletes that require prompt reconstruction with quick recovery and rehabilitation. It has been estimated that over 100,000 ACL reconstructions are performed each year in the United States and with an annual incidence of 68.6 per 100,000 person years over the past twenty-one years [20]. The incidence of ACL injuries ranged from 32 to 38 per 100,000 people in Denmark, Germany and Sweden (countries with mandated ACL reporting) [21]. The overall incidence of GTMM during ACL construction has been reported to be 20% when utilizing BTB autografts and as high as 26% in BTB allografts causing a significant difficulty for surgeons intraoperatively [18,19].

Risk factors for graft tunnel mismatch

Utilizing BTB autografts in ACL reconstruction does not come without unavoidable risks. The most commonly reported negative outcomes from BTB autografts are anterior knee pain, sensation loss, patella fracture, patella baja, patellar tendon rupture, loss of extension torque, and graft tunnel mismatch. With methodical preoperative planning, BTB autograft complications can often be avoided or minimized. Risk factors for GTMM include but are not limited to: Previous knee joint trauma, degenerative tendon changes, patellar tendinopathy, patella alta, patella baja, Osgood-Schlatter disease, Sinding-Larson-Johansson syndrome, and patients who have obtained preoperative or intraoperative radiographic imaging without proper intra-articular length measurements. All patients undergoing ACL reconstruction should have preoperative or intraoperative radiographic imaging without proper intra-articular length measurements. Use of the ‘Blumensaat’s Method’ via a lateral knee radiograph, the knee is flexed to 30 degrees. If the patella is superior to Blumensaat’s line it is considered patella alta, and if it lies inferiorly, then it is considered patella baja. Patients with previous degenerative tendon changes and pa-
tellar tendinopathy should be worked up and be considered for an alternate graft source.

At presentation all patients should be initially examined with a thorough history and physical to identify risk factors that can could potentially lead to GTMM. Physicians should obtain detailed information of any prior joint trauma, surgery, infections, and degenerative changes. Patients that have a history suggestive of osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, should be worked up appropriately. Teenagers and young adults are more susceptible of having Osgood-Schlatter Disease and Sinding-Larsson-Johansson syndrome. Patients with Osgood-Schlatter, also known as- tibial tubercle apophysitis will classically present in patients that repetitively run and jump with anterior knee pain over the anterior tibial tu-
berosity. Patients with Osgood-Schlatter Disease are prone to GTMM and ultimate graft failure due to false insertion of the graft into the tibia. Sinding-Larsen-Johansson syndrome is an overuse traction apophysitis of the inferior pole of the patella that is more common in growing active children, teen-
agers, and young adults. Patients should be questioned about insidious onset of anterior knee pain during both rest and ex-
acerbation when active. While the pain with Osgood-Schlatter disease was over the tibial tubercle, in patients with Sinding-Larsen-Johansson syndrome- the pain will reside over the inferior patella. Patients with either suspected Os-
good-Schlatter Disease or Sinding-Larsen-Johansson syndrome should undergo further assessment with radiography prior to ACL reconstruction.

Prevention

Radiographic/MRI assessment

An initial radiographic assessment in patients with sus-
pected ACL rupture will undergo plain film and MRI radiogra-
phy. Normal plain film radiographs should not preclude per-
forming MRI on the affected knee. Upon confirmation of ACL rupture, planning for operative ACL reconstruciton should use several key measurements of the performed radiographs to minimize risk of GTMM.

Firstly, the patient’s height should be carefully measured, as it has long been used to estimate the patient’s ACL length. However, while the patient’s height is significantly correlated to their ACL length, there is no significant association between patella ligament length and patient height [17]. This is criti-
cal, as Joyner, et al. [23] examined 138 total native ACL’s and sought to quantify native ACL length via Lateral X-ray Radiogra-
phy. They found that the correlation between native ACL length and Blumensaat’s line length for males and females were both excellent (0.88 and 0.84, respectively) [23]. They also found the correlation between native ACL length and patellar ligament length was very poor in both males and females (0.08 and 0.10, respectively) [23]. They concluded that significant variability can be expected in ACL and patellar liga-
ment length and that lateral knee X-rays can serve as a simple and cost effective manner to estimate native ACL length [23]. Regardless of the type of graft being used, a patient’s height should not be solely relied upon as the only estimate of native ACL length to determine graft length, and lateral knee X-rays should be performed to help decrease GTMM risk by helping to better estimate native ACL length.

Calculation of graft/tunnel length

Using lateral X-ray films and MRI, the femoral tunnel (FT) length and ACL length can be estimated. Once the femoral tunnel length and ACL length are known, it is possible to adjust the tibial tunnel (TT) length to match the overall graft length (OGL). However, it is important to remember that not all intra-articular ACL lengths are the same. It was originally thought that most, if not all, intra-articular ACL lengths ranged between 25-30 millimeters, however variability has been noted throughout literature ranging from 21 to 42 milli-
eters [19,24]. While it would anatomically make sense that ACL length correlates with patella ligament length, Dentì, et al. [25] demonstrated no statistically significant correlation between native ACL and patellar ligament length.

Brown, et al. [26] examined 414 knee Magnetic Reson-
ance Images of knees with intact ACL’s and developed a linear regression formula to calculate the length of the ACL based on the patient’s height:

\[
\text{ACL Length} = 1.17 \times \text{height (inches)} - 41.29
\]

In the past, orthopedic surgeons used the patient’s height to calculate the paterellar length. Patellar ligament length. However, the patella ligament length is not a reliable indica-
tor for estimating ACL length. This is particularly concerning if a surgeon is making a decision on estimated ACL length based on patellar ligament due to multiple studies showing patellar length being close to 50.0 millimeters [27]. Especially when GTMM is significantly more common when the tendinous portion of the graft exceeds 50 millimeters [27]. Denti, et al. [25] showed that the average patella length was 45.5 milli-
eters +/- 4.7 millimeters with 25% being longer than 48 milli-
eters, while Shaffer, et al. [19] reported a mean patella lig-
ament length of 48.4 millimeters +/- 6.0 millimeters with 20% being longer than 52.0 millimeters. Joyner, et al. [23] found an average patella ligament length of 49.2 millimeters +/- 7.0 millimeters in males and an average of 44.4 millimeters +/- 6.0 millimeters in females.

Other studies have attempted to determine formulas to calculate tibial tunnel length and guide angle to accommo-
date the BTB autograft length. Kenna, et al. [28] proposed the “-50” rule, estimating that the tibial tunnel length equals the overall graft length - 50.0 millimeters. Verma, et al. [27] pro-
posed the “N + 10” Rule, in which the length of the graft in millimeters plus 10 degrees is the optimal angle of the tibial guide (i.e. 50 mm graft = 60 degrees). Miller, et al. [22] later proposed the “N + 7” Rule, in which the length of the tendi
nous graft in millimeters plus 7 degrees is the optimal angle of the tibial guide (i.e. 50 mm graft = 57 degrees). Finally, Olszew-
ksi, et al. [29] proposed the “N + 7/N + 2” rule, in which the tibula tunnel length is the patella ligament length plus 2 millimeters. While all of these studies are critically benefi-
tial, they did not calculate the actual intra-articular length. Alas, Joyner, et al. [24] proposed a formula to calculate the Intra-Articular Graft Length:
There are numerous techniques that have been proposed to salvage the graft including; 1) Shortening Femoral Bone Plug Length; 2) Drilling Deeper Into the Femoral Tunnel; 3) Flipping the Graft; 4) Twisting the Graft and 5) Performing a Free Bone Plug.

**Twist the graft**

Verma, et al. [18] proposes twisting the graft if less than 12 mm or to free the bone if block is greater than or equal to 12 millimeters. Twisting the graft involves rotation 540 degrees to twist and shorten the tendinous portion of the graft which can shorten the graft on average by 5.4 millimeters (Figure 3).

**Flip the graft**

Barber, et al. [30] describe flipping the bone graft, which can theoretically shorten the graft by 2-3 centimeters depending on the length of the bone plug.

**Free bone block**

For greater than or equal to 12 millimetres, Verma, et al. [27] also proposed a free bone block technique by stripping the bone block on the tibial tunnel portion and laying it over the soft tissue portion with an interference screw. Free bone block has been found to have superior biomechanical testing in the face of GTMM when compared to to the screw and post method [14] (Figure 4).

**Tibial trough with screw and post**

Tibial trough is another bailout option, in which you make a trough for a tibial bone plug and fix with a screw and post (Figure 5).

**Other options**

To shorten the femoral bone length will shorten the overall graft length, while also increasing the risk of compromising bone to bone fixation and healing. Drilling deeper into the femoral tunnel is simple but risks blowing out the outer cortex and can potentially cause graft impingement. Fowler, et al. [31] propose the free bone plug by placing an autograft cancellous core of bone into the tibial tunnel to improve tibial fixation.

**Case Examples Using Intra-Articular Graft Calculation**

**Patient #1**

16-year-old Junior High School Football Player, Height: 5 feet, 10 inches, Father’s Height: 5 feet, 9 inches diagnosed with ACL tear, Medial Meniscus Tear, Lateral Meniscus Tear.

- a. OGL = TT + ACL + FT
- b. OGL = TT + 33 mm + 25 mm.
- c. Estimation of TT to be 37 mm, thus OGL should be 95 mm.
- d. MRI Patella Ligament = 52 mm (Bone Plugs = 20 and 23 mm).
- e. 52 mm + 20 mm + 23 mm = 95 mm for Original Graft Length.
f. No GTMM during operation (Figure 6).

**Patient #2**

Graft = 93 mm (23 mm + 20 mm bone plugs and MRI Patella Length of 50 mm).

a. FT = 25 mm, ACL templated to 33 cm.
b. OGL = FT + ACL + TT → 93 = 25 + 33 + TT
c. TT needs to be 35 mm.
d. No GTMM during operation.

**Patient #3**

17-year-old male Senior High School Football Player.

a. Height = 5 feet, 11 inches, ACL and MCL tear.
b. Patella Ligament Length = 42 mm on lateral X-ray.
c. BTB graft only 75 mm with 20 mm plugs.
d. PL length ended up being only 35 mm.

e. Attempt to make shorter femoral/tibial tunnel but graft still 10 mm short from outer cortex.
f. Trough in tibial tunnel was made to ensure screw captures and not pushing bone plug up tunnel with careful tapping of tibia because of blind tap.
g. Backed up with screw and soft tissue washer.
h. MCL also repaired with suture anchors (Figure 7a and Figure 7b).

**Patient #4**

18-year-old Senior High School Football Football Player.

a. Height: 5 feet, 7 inches.
b. Patella Tendon Length: 64 mm on lateral X-ray.
c. BTB graft 105 mm used intraoperatively.
d. Graft was too long leading to GTMM.
e. Longer tibial tunnel from 20 to 35 mm created but still had full bone graft protrusion, then shortened femoral bone plug from 24 mm to 18 mm with risk of femoral fixation.
f. Other possibility: Flipping graft (Figure 8).

**Patient #5**

17-year-old male Senior High School Football Player.

a. Height = 5 feet, 11 inches with PL of 65 mm vs. Patient #6: 18-year-old athlete; Height: 5 feet, 11 inches with PL of 35 mm.

a. Important to remember that height is not a reliable indicator of ACL or Patella Ligament Length (Figure 9a and Figure 9b).

**Conclusion**

Anterior Cruciate Ligament reconstruction is a difficult and complex orthopaedic surgical procedure for patients with ACL deficient knees. It is critical that all measures are taken prior to operation are performed to minimize risk and optimize success for each patient’s outcomes. Graft Tunnel Mismatch is an intraoperative risk of graft failure that can be minimized by taking precautionary measures by properly es-
itimating graft legnth preoperatively. This can be done by as-
suming Blumensaat’s Length = ACL Length with confirmatory
measurement of both ACL length and patella ligament length
via a preoperative lateral knee X-ray. The formulas above can
then be used to approximate appropriate graft length and to
minimize risk of graft tunnel mismathch. Madhu, et al. [32]
agree that the dimensions of the graft and intra-articular
length should be measured and assessed preoperatively. It is
especially important to always remember that each patient’s
anatomy is unique. Patients with significant risk factors for
graft failure should be appropriately examined thoroughly
by history, physical and radiographic assessment for optimal
graft choice and operative technique. All patients should be
examined for significant patella baja or alta preoperatively
with special consideration in those patients to be able to ad-
just the tibial tunnel accordingly.

When running into GTMM during surgery, we recommend
that one does not immediately bail to an allograft. There are
numerous options listed above as bailout methods to use
with an autologous graft to give the patient the best oppor-
tunity for bone to bone healing. When using BTB autografts,
it is of the upmost importance to speak and educate these
patients about potential complications before they ever hap-
pen. Bone-patellar-tendon-bone autologous (BTB) grafts are
not for everyone, and we recommend they be used in knee
abusers, high level athletes and those with a closed physis.
The hamstring autologous grafts (HS) are preferred in patients
under 30-years-old with, those with an open physis, or active/
competitive patients over 30-years-old. Hamstring allografts
are recommended for patients that are over 30-years-old,
obese, as they have the fastest recovery back to normal daily
activities.
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