Patellar Tendon Reconstruction Utilizing Autograft Hamstring: A Docking Technique for the Sports Medicine Surgeon
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Abstract
Patellar tendon ruptures are a relatively uncommon injury and account for roughly 3% of all injuries to the tendon ligament complex of the knee. Numerous techniques, grafts, augmentations and sutures utilized intraoperatively to repair the patellar tendon have been previously studied. Management of a failed primary repair or chronic patellar tendon tear can be challenging with high complication rates due to infection, wire breakage and tibial fracture with a high number of patients unable to return to pre-injury activity levels. These less than ideal long-term outcomes create uncertainty for surgeons when choosing the appropriate repair technique. We present a case report to describe a surgical technique using autograft tissue with common instrumentation, implants and techniques familiar to sports surgeons. This docking strategy for challenging patients alleviates concern for the required length of the autograft hamstring tendon and repair strength following a recent patellar tendon reconstruction failure. The surgeon should feel confident of secure fixation and the ability to achieve early rehabilitation with the potential for long-term success.
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Introduction
Patellar tendon ruptures are a relatively uncommon injury and account for roughly 3% of all injuries to the extensor ligament complex of the knee [1-8]. Several studies recently reported a good prognosis following patellar tendon rupture if the diagnosis and primary surgical repair is completed acutely, described as less than two weeks [1,9]. This reiterates the importance of early evaluation, diagnosis and appropriate surgical treatment to restore the extensor mechanism [1,3,9-12]. Studies regarding the best way to manage patellar tendon reconstruction when primary repair is not possible are vague with outcomes ranging across the entire spectrum from good to poor [2,5,7,9,13].

Numerous techniques, grafts, augmentation and sutures utilized to repair the patellar tendon have been previously described in the literature [1,2,5-7,9,10,14-23]. For acute repairs, a primary repair alone or a repair utilizing Dall-Miles or non-absorbable sutures demonstrates the lowest failure and complication rates [5,6]. For acute repairs utilizing primary or autogenous graft techniques, the revision rates or failures, all documented as re-ruptures, are between 2-7% [9].

The management of a failed primary repair or chronic patellar tendon tear can be devastating for a patient and a surgical challenge to achieve acceptable clinical outcomes [2,9]. Revision patellar tendon surgery or surgery of a chronic tear unable to be primarily repaired has led to a wider array of repair techniques [5,7,9]. Unfortunately, studies evaluating reconstruction of a chronic patellar tendon rupture are frequently on a small scale with only a handful of subjects resulting in numerous treatment option recommendations [5,9]. A reconstruction augmented with cerclage exhibits high rates of complication including infection, wire breakage, or tibial fracture [5]; however, it does not require weeks of post-surgical immobilization, and after two years, displays similar range of motion when compared bilaterally [10,24]. The most often utilized graft to reconstruct the patellar tendon is autologous tissue; either semitendinosus, gracilis or both [2,5,9]. A retrospective review by Maffulli, et al., evaluated 18...
Here we describe our technique utilizing modern techniques familiar to knee surgeons to reconstruct a failed primary repair (Figure 1 and Figure 2) following additional trauma or a chronic tear requiring augmentation. We present this technique as an additional option for surgeons when handling a challenging case with limited remnant tissue following a recent re-rupture of a primary patellar tendon reconstruction.

**Surgical Technique**

The patient is positioned supine and placed under general anesthesia. A midline incision from the superior patellar pole to the medial aspect of the tibial tubercle is made. After exposure of the tendon confirming the need to augment and reconstruct the patellar tendon, the sartorial fascia is elevated as done during autograft hamstring anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and the semitendinosus and gracilis tendons are harvested in the standard fashion using a closed-ended tendon stripper, cleaned of muscle tissue and whip-stitched with a # non-absorbable suture at each end.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Autograft gracilis and semitendinosus hamstring tendons are harvested in the standard fashion using a closed-ended tendon stripper, cleaned of muscle tissue and whip-stitched with a # non-absorbable suture at each end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>A 6.5 mm transverse tibial tunnel is created using a guidewire 1 cm posterior to the tibial tubercle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Any remaining healthy patellar tendon is preserved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The semitendinosus and gracilis tendons are passed through the transverse tibial tunnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Semitendinosus autograft is delivered to the inferior pole of the patella in a crisscrossed pattern and weaved into the remnant of healthy patellar tendon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Gracilis autograft is delivered to the inferior pole of the patella in a U-shaped pattern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Autograft tissue is docked into two 6.5 mm by 20 mm patellar sockets in the inferior lateral aspects of the patella and secured with Bio-Compression screws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Inferior medial patellar socket is secured first before moving to the lateral patellar socket.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>A 6.25 mm Bio-Compression screw provides interference fixation in the transverse tibial tunnel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Surgical Technique.
tendons are harvested in standard fashion utilizing a tendon stripping device. The autologous hamstring tissue is stripped of muscle and whip stitched at each end with a #2 non-absorbable suture (Table 1).

Using a guidewire 1 cm posterior to the tibial tubercle, a 6.5 mm transverse tibial tunnel is created. The inferior pole of the patella is prepared, and healthy patellar tendon is preserved to overlies the autograft reconstructive tissue. The semitendinosus and gracilis tendons are passed through the transverse tibial tunnel with the aid of a Hewson suture passer or shuttling stitch (Figure 3). The semitendinosus autograft is delivered proximally in a criss cross pattern and weaved into the remnant of the patellar tendon (Figure 4). The gracilis autograft is positioned in a U-shaped pattern passed through the transverse tibial tunnel and guided towards the remnant of the patellar tendon. Two 6.5 mm by 20 mm patellar sockets are created in the patella on the inferior lateral aspects for the tenodesis implant.

With the knee held at 20 degrees of flexion one end of the gracilis autograft and one end of the semitendinosus autograft is passed into the inferior medial patellar socket and secured in place with a 6.25 mm Bio-Compression screw (Arthrex, Naples, FL). The free end of the graft tails is placed under tension and advanced into the lateral patellar socket and fixated with a second 6.25 mm Bio-Compression screw. Additional interference fixation is placed in the transverse tibial tunnel utilizing a 6.25 mm Bio-Compression screw (Arthrex, Naples, FL) to fixate the graft and prevent graft abrasion in the osseous tunnel. The knee is then taken through a full range of motion to confirm no disruption of the reconstructed tendon. The proximal and distal remnants of the patellar tendon are sewn into the autograft hamstring tissue using nonabsorbable #2 polyethylene/polyester sutures (Arthrex, Naples, FL). Additionally, with the knee at 30 degrees of flexion, a nonabsorbable #5 polyethylene/polyester suture (Arthrex, Naples, FL) is used to cerclage through the knee retinaculum and quadriceps tendon proximal to the patella and distal through the patella tendon reconstruction.

Patients are discharged the day of surgery with cryotherapy and a knee immobilizer with 50% partial weight bearing (PWB). Passive range of motion (ROM) from 0°-30° is initiated and maintained for the first two weeks. From two to six weeks post-operatively, patients continue with 50% PWB in full extension and slowly advance passive ROM up to 90°. Patients then begin to weight bear as tolerated 6 weeks after surgery, wean off crutches and progress ROM passively and begin active assistive motion. Light resistance is begun 12 weeks post-operatively and release to unrestricted activity 6 months after surgery.

Case Patient

The described procedure has been performed on two patients. The second patient was lost to follow up at six months but had no complaints or complications during his known post-operative course. The first patient to undergo the procedure was a 45-year-old male who had undergone an initial patellar tendon reconstruction in 2013. Just under two months after primary reconstruction, the patient had
rupture has been shown to have the best post-operative outcomes [11]; however, a surgical challenge is presented for delayed repairs, mid-substance tears with poor remaining tissue quality or after failed primary repairs. These challenges require tendon reconstruction or augmentation to re-establish the extensor mechanism and improve patient quality of life with a hopeful return to their pre-injury activity level. Multiple techniques, grafts, sutures and augmentations have been described previously for reconstruction [1,2,5-7,9,10,14,15]. To our knowledge, the integrity and histological characteristics of the hamstring autograft has not been described for extra-articular knee procedures. In regard to anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstructions, age and gender play a role in the graft-selection decision-making process [26,27]. There are well-documented increased rates of allografts compared to autograft tissue on widely research ACL reconstructions [26,28]. Gangliano, et al. determined that the structure of aging tendons is preserved, and aging tenocytes maintained their ability of extracellular matrix remodeling when evaluating semitendinosus and gracilis tendons in older patient populations (mean group ages of 41.8 versus 72.7 years old) [29]. This may result in trying to maintain the remnant tissue during reconstruction. The role of gender on the healing and maturation process of hamstring autografts is still unclear. The difficulty of extrapolating the findings from other studies exists as many are ACL or other intra-articular reconstructions and not extra-articular in nature. We describe our technique utilizing autograft hamstring, common techniques and familiar implants commonly used and reproducible by sports medicine surgeons that has provided our patients with a successful reconstruction of the patellar tendon and extensor mechanism (Figures 7 and Figure 8).

Discussion

Early primary surgical repair of an acute patellar tendon rupture has been shown to have the best post-operative outcomes [11]; however, a surgical challenge is presented for delayed repairs, mid-substance tears with poor remaining tissue quality or after failed primary repairs. These challenges require tendon reconstruction or augmentation to re-establish the extensor mechanism and improve patient quality of life with a hopeful return to their pre-injury activity level. Multiple techniques, grafts, sutures and augmentations have been described previously for reconstruction [1,2,5-7,9,10,14,15]. To our knowledge, the integrity and histological characteristics of the hamstring autograft has not been described for extra-articular knee procedures. In regard to anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstructions, age and gender play a role in the graft-selection decision-making process [26,27]. There are well-documented increased rates of allografts compared to autograft tissue on widely research ACL reconstructions [26,28]. Gangliano, et al. determined that the structure of aging tendons is preserved, and aging tenocytes maintained their ability of extracellular matrix remodeling when evaluating semitendinosus and gracilis tendons in older patient populations (mean group ages of 41.8 versus 72.7 years old) [29]. This may result in trying to maintain the remnant tissue during reconstruction. The role of gender on the healing and maturation process of hamstring autografts is still unclear. The difficulty of extrapolating the findings from other studies exists as many are ACL or other intra-articular reconstructions and not extra-articular in nature. We describe our technique utilizing autograft hamstring, common techniques and familiar implants commonly used and reproducible by sports medicine surgeons that has provided our patients with a successful reconstruction of the patellar tendon and extensor mechanism (Figures 7 and Figure 8).

Importantly and differentiating our technique from other published reports is the length of autograft hamstring tendon reconstruction.

![Figure 5: Post-operative follow-up picture at one-year with the patient demonstrating full extension.](image1)

![Figure 6: Post-operative follow-up picture at one-year with the patient demonstrating flexion.](image2)

![Figure 7: Post-operative anteroposterior radiograph demonstrating patella alignment after reconstruction.](image3)
and is less of a concern with this tendon docking procedure because the graft is not required to be pulled the full length through a transpatellar tunnel for fixation as others have described [1,2,4,7,9]. The surgeon should feel confident with this reconstructive patellar tendon reconstruction to allow for secure fixation and early rehabilitation to achieve knee range of motion and independent ambulation.

Limitations

Due to the low incidence rate of patellar tendon reconstruction and studies with small sample sizes, it is challenging to make large scale recommendations on the outcomes of the technique itself. In addition, there were no evidence-based outcome measurements. Further follow-up and continued research into the presented technique may help provide a clearer protocol for chronic patellar tendon reconstruction.

Summary

Primary patellar tendon repair for acute traumatic patellar tendon ruptures is the desired repair. However, we have had clinical success with a transstibial autograft hamstring patellar docking technique for patellar tendon reconstruction for challenging patients utilizing techniques and implants familiar to sports medicine knee surgeons.
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