An Ultimate Method for Cleft Nasal Deformity Correction at Primary Lip Surgery: Innovative Concepts and Review
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Abstract
The Nasal deformity in individuals with clefts has been an area of controversies, challenges and diversity of techniques. The aesthetic outcomes have barely been acceptable or below expectations most of the time and globally.

Method: We believe on the theory of a “collapsing pyramid” for the Nasal cartilaginous framework in clefts. Hence, we came up with a true suspension technique. The entire cartilaginous structure is lifted from infra tip region, with a loop suture and being secured onto the periosteum overlying nasal bone in a cantilever fashion.

This method is being used at the primary stage in both Unilateral and Bilateral Nasal-cleft lip deformities. Major works and milestones relevant to this topic by renowned authors are reviewed and discussed.

Results: The technique was first applied over 10-years-ago. Nine children whose parents consented to the developing technique have been presented, with follow ups ranging from few months to years. Technical descriptions and illustrative drawings made by the author are portrayed.

None of the cases has had preoperative orthopedic procedures, moldings or even postoperative nostril splints. The aesthetic outcome was adequately striking and optimum, none of the cases requested a secondary correction to the nose.

Conclusion: The new nasal suspension technique is an innovative concept, which can be used in conjunction to an appropriate lip repair method as per the surgeon’s preference. The main drawback would be the need for a learning curve. Overall, we believe this is an ultimate solution for the severe nasal deformity, since it truly suspends the nose in a cantilever fashion, securing it to a stable structure, the periosteum of the nasion.
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Introduction
Only after spending several years of cleft work, one appreciates how difficult it is to achieve good results to the nose in cleft lip patients. Earlier in one’s practice we were mostly challenged about closing a large size cleft and achieving a good alignment to the lip. It was very truly stated: “Cleft lip surgery is essentially an operation to the Nose” [1].

Unilateral cleft lip nasal deformity (UCLND) has been investigated and written about far more than the Bilateral counterpart, an observation that can be easily made upon reviewing this subject [2-5]. The rationale is not barely, the solutions applied to the former can simply be applied on both sides. It is the tilt of the tripod in the Unilateral cases beginning with the infrastructure (maxilla) up to the skin and hence the asymmetry, what challenged surgeons the most [5].

The main difficulty with the Bilateral cleft lip nasal deformity (BCLND), namely short columella from mild to non-existent, was eventually addressed by Mulliken [1], the technique has been acknowledged as a major milestone, though like every other technique it also has its drawbacks.
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We are introducing a complementary concept that combines suspension, traction of the freed and fully mobilized lower lateral cartilages, however to the nasal bone's periosteum, and in a closed manner. This is beside few well-established maneuvers.

Although we first thought of this idea and applied it 10-years-ago, it is only recently we decided to report after observing convincing results by ourselves, and more importantly the parents of these children have not asked for further nasal correction unlike some other cases which have undergone preschool cleft rhinoplasty. The other factors which are common in these particular cases were: None of them had any presurgical orthopedic manipulations, NAM or postoperative nostril splints.

Nine children who were subjected to our method are presented in this paper. These were selected on basis of delayed timing of surgery and or their parents fully consented on a new innovative technique. The first two cases were involved mainly for technical demonstration purposes (Figure 2 and Figure 9).

Method/Operative Technique

The marking and operation are being done under Loupe magnification. Besides the diluted adrenaline, the nasal mucosa is also infiltrated with injectable normal saline specifically to tip, columnellar area if present, and over the lower lateral cartilages. This step helps as a hydro-dissection, makes the isolation of the flimsy cartilages relatively easier.

Surgery begins with the lip incisions and dissection; the specific method is selected as per the case. Then attention is turned towards the nasal operation.

The nasal surgery is done entirely through rim incisions and two 18G needle induced stab incisions externally (Figure 1).

Initially the rim incisions are made. The alar cartilages are carefully dissected and fully skeletonized on both sides as a closed technique. In UCLND, the normal side dissection is limited to the dome and proximal lateral crus. Nasal skin is undermined all the way to the radix, including the triangular cartilage region. Lateral crural stael or mobilization is considered to build the deficient columella. Trans-domal, equalization sutures are placed using PDS 5.0. Next, a Cinch suture to the fibro fatty tissue using Ethibond 3.0 is placed, (holding both alae in case of BCLND or one side in case of UCLND), and secured into the premaxillary periosteum. Both the domal and cinch sutures are left untied at this point.

Two 18 G needle induced stab mini incisions are made, one on the nasal radix and second one just caudal to future nasal tip.

A Malleable suture passer is introduced through the mini stab incision at radix, which then advanced subcutaneously in the previously undermined plane on one side of the cartilaginous framework, and brought out from the infra tip needle induced incision. A vicryl 4.0 thread is used, caught and brought out of the opening at radix. The suture passer then re-introduced for second time from the radix, this time pierces into the nasal bone periosteum, then it is driven in the contralateral side to the initial one in relation to the cartilaginous framework. It is brought out again through the infra-tip opening and the other end of thread is held and pulled back smoothly, making sure not to lose the subperiosteal plane on the nasal bone. This way, a loop is being created that is going around the infra-tip (caudal to the domes) and holding entire cartilaginous framework, and brought out from the infra tip region. Lateral crural stael or mobilization is considered to build the deficient columella. Trans-domal, equalization sutures are placed using PDS 5.0. Next, a Cinch suture to the fibro fatty tissue using Ethibond 3.0 is placed, (holding both alae in case of BCLND or one side in case of UCLND), and secured into the premaxillary periosteum. Both the domal and cinch sutures are left untied at this point.

Next, the cinch suture is tied, followed by the trans-domal, equalization suture(s) and then the loop suture is tied last, the knot is kept well away from the radix opening (Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 11). No consideration for sepal dissection or interventions is considered in this technique.

We often tend to place bolster suture(s) as a support for to the dead space usually to the alae, this reduces any minor hematomas hence helps in reducing fibrosis, these are removed in 48 hours (Figure 6 and Figure 11).

A thin Duoderm or similar dressing is taped over the nose as a splint, we believe it helps in reducing dead space as well.
**Figure 2:** Case 1, BCLND, splayed lower lateral cartilages, flattened bifid tip and short columella (a), and artist’s depiction of underlying anomaly (b).

**Figure 3:** Author’s illustration of the NCT in case of a BCLND, a malleable suture passer has been introduced from radix opening cephalically, partly embedded in the periosteum overlying nasal bone, exited from the infra-tip opening caudally. It is catching the thread (violet color) to retrieve it and pull it out at the radix (a). Then after repeating same maneuver on other side but maintaining a superficial track a loop is created. The tip trans-domal suture (blue) and cinch suture (green) are also shown; none of the 3 key sutures are tied yet (b).
sion method beside lip repair, as the only surgical intervention to the nose and lip up to their last follow up. The first two cases were recent and mostly done as demonstration with short follow up. Age at time of this primary surgery ranged from 4 months to 2-years (Table 1).

In terms of complications, in case 3 we encountered an issue with the alar cinch suture, a stitch granuloma. It was considered to be pulled out under conscious sedation.

**Results**

In total, nine patients were subjected to the Nasal suspension method beside lip repair, as the only surgical intervention to the nose and lip up to their last follow up. The first two cases were recent and mostly done as demonstration with short follow up. Age at time of this primary surgery ranged from 4 months to 2-years (Table 1).

In terms of complications, in case 3 we encountered an issue with the alar cinch suture, a stitch granuloma. It was considered to be pulled out under conscious sedation.
Table 1: The follow up result photos are taken at time frame anywhere between 3 months to 10-years postoperatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Type of Cleft</th>
<th>Age at Surgery</th>
<th>Postop. Follow up Period</th>
<th>Figure #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BCLND*</td>
<td>14 M.</td>
<td>3 M.</td>
<td>Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UCLND*</td>
<td>4 M.</td>
<td>4 M.</td>
<td>Figure 9, Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BCLND</td>
<td>6 M.</td>
<td>1 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BCLND</td>
<td>8 M.</td>
<td>2 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UCLND**</td>
<td>18 M.</td>
<td>6 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>UCLND</td>
<td>11 M.</td>
<td>6 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>UCLND</td>
<td>5 M.</td>
<td>10 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>UCLND</td>
<td>2 Y.</td>
<td>10 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>UCLND</td>
<td>8 M.</td>
<td>10 Y.</td>
<td>Figure 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Technique demonstration case; **Single Stage, cleft lip and palate surgery; M = Months; Y = Years.

Figure 6: Case 1 basal view at completion, bolster sutures are used occasionally to reduce minor hematomas and fibrosis (a). Author’s view of the underlying repair (b).

Figure 7: Case 1, was used for technique demonstration as an example of BCLND, operated at age of 14 months (a). 3 months follow up, we intentionally preserve the philtral width at primary surgery instead of banking or discarding, this would be extremely useful in case of a future Rhinoplasty otherwise the lip can be revised to optimal philtral width at teenage (b).
It is impossible to discuss the Nasal correction aspect in clefts without including primary approaches to the cleft lip, which is also quite diversely executed by surgeons.

It was interesting to learn from a mentor like Dr. Hugh Thompson, this seems to be the norm, there is nothing wrong

**Discussion**

**The lip repair**

Selection of the technique for Cleft lip repair largely depends on whom one was influenced, in terms of mentor(s).
gested and described to secure the surgically dissected cartilages and freed at dome area, as well cephalically [12].

To secure the repositioned lower lateral cartilages, mattress sutures have been used by Tajima in 1977, holding the lower laterals to the Triangular cartilages, as part of their described approach to secondary correction of the cleft nose [13]. Kernahan, et al. presented their results with same technique of Tajima [14], who then presented their long-term results of the original approach with some additions [15].

McComb used mattress sutures to reposition the nasal cartilages after undermining nasal skin, securing them externally as bolster sutures. Those mattress sutures depend on dermal resistance to maintain their traction, and need to be removed approximately in 5 days. They demonstrated the technique initially in the UCLND [16] and later presented their long-term follow ups in both Unilateral and Bilateral clefts [17,18].

Stenstrom, beside the rim incisions, added a small external incision on the dorsum in order to lift the affected alar cartilages and securing them to the septal cartilage with non-absorbable sutures [19].

**The current literature on cleft Nasal deformity**

Historically, different suturing techniques have been suggested and described to secure the surgically dissected cartilages and freed at dome area, as well cephalically [12].

To secure the repositioned lower lateral cartilages, mattress sutures have been used by Tajima in 1977, holding the lower laterals to the Triangular cartilages, as part of their described approach to secondary correction of the cleft nose [13]. Kernahan, et al. presented their results with same technique of Tajima [14], who then presented their long-term results of the original approach with some additions [15].

McComb used mattress sutures to reposition the nasal cartilages after undermining nasal skin, securing them externally as bolster sutures. Those mattress sutures depend on dermal resistance to maintain their traction, and need to be removed approximately in 5 days. They demonstrated the technique initially in the UCLND [16] and later presented their long-term follow ups in both Unilateral and Bilateral clefts [17,18].

Stenstrom, beside the rim incisions, added a small external incision on the dorsum in order to lift the affected alar cartilages and securing them to the septal cartilage with non-absorbable sutures [19].

**Figure 10:** Case 2: Intraoperative basal view (a). Lateral crus dissection, skeletonizing and steal maneuver for medial crus lengthening (b). The 3 key sutures: A Cinch ethibond suture holding alar fibrofatty tissue to premaxillary periosteum; Trans-domal PDS suture; and the Cantilever lifting vicryl thread, seen coming out at the radix (c). All 3 sutures have been tied beginning with the Cinch, followed by Trans-domal, and last the Cantilever thread. The nose has been fully reconstructed prior to and independent to the lip repair (d).

**Figure 11:** Case 2 cont’d., a single bolster suture used at alar rim (usually removed in 48 hours), the lip has been repaired, the fact it was mildly deficient in height was recognized (a). Author’s simulation of Nasal reconstruction and the 3 key sutures (b). One week postoperatively (c) (medical honey-based ointment seen on the lip).
Figure 12: Case 2: Used as an example for technique demonstration in UCLND at 4 months (a,c). Five months postoperative follow up pictures, the nose is maintaining its reconstructed shape. Lip outcome was somewhat expected (b, d) There is some scar hypertrophy at nasal sill.

Figure 13: Case 3: BCLND, severe and significant asymmetry, operated at 6 months (a) One year postoperative follow up is shown (b). Although we use some maneuvers of Mulliken’s methods but we save most of the philtra skin at this age.
Out of those statements, one could simply extrapolate 3 simple conclusions:

- The diagnosis of “Cleft lip case” is probably an underestimating and inappropriately deficient, lacks the major challenging aspect of the anomaly, namely nasal deformity.
- Nasal correction aspect must take priority at the prima-
the cleft being unilateral or bilateral. This is beside alar cartilages recruiting, domal equalization and cinch sutures. All previously reported techniques depended on securing lower lateral cartilages to other mobile structures, like triangular cartilages or the skin. Our way of Cinch sutures is done differently as well, they have been secured to the premaxillary periosteum.

NAM or other presurgical corrective manipulations as well as post-surgical nostril silicone splints work on the principles of sculpturing or supporting the nose [22]. Those can be extremely useful devices, when started early enough. Compliance, repeated efforts of teaching parents has been an issue in our practice, due to the long distances of many of these patients. Besides, properly trained craniofacial orthodontists are not yet adequately available in most of the peripheral provinces.

Although we have been applying the subunit principle technique to many of the Unilateral cases, when it came to the cleft being unilateral or bilateral. This is beside alar cartilages recruiting, domal equalization and cinch sutures. All previously reported techniques depended on securing lower lateral cartilages to other mobile structures, like triangular cartilages or the skin. Our way of Cinch sutures is done differently as well, they have been secured to the premaxillary periosteum.

Our method of nasal suspension

The procedure involves wide undermining and complete freeing of involved lower laterals, in addition to the alar bases; an internal long-lasting mattress cable suture is used and been secured to a much stable base, periosteum of the nasion, the orientation of this suture varies depending whether

Figure 16: Case 6: UCLND, operated at age of 11 months (a). Follow up picture 6 years post-surgery (b).

Figure 17: Case 7: UCLND, operated at 5 months (a) Follow up picture 10 years post-surgery (b).
The choice of material to be used for “the loop suture” was based on a material that would absorb in reasonable time and with secure knot quality. PDS was initially attempted however the knot would not “slide” away from the radix mini incision. This potentially could have led to stitch granulomas. We found vicryl or ploysorb suture worked better from this aspect, we were able to slide and place the knot further caudal over the nasal bones in relation to the mini incision. This could have been related to the mild elastic property of these sutures. We avoided the use of permanent material for nasal correction we initially had the tendency to use McComb’s technique of external mattress sutures. The most unfavorable part of this was the fact, it depends barely on the skin to hold the freed cartilages. Second, those bolsters will need to be removed very soon.

The surgical technique described here, repositions the cartilages and soft tissues in a desired and over corrected position after they have been completely freed, and holds that position internally to a fixed base, the periosteum. (Figure 4c, Figure 6b, Figure 5b, Figure 5d, Figure 11b).

**Figure 18**: Case 8: UCLND, “form fruste” type, was operated at age of 2-years (a) Follow up picture 10-years post-surgery (b).

**Figure 19**: Case 9: UCLND, was operated at age 8 months (a) Follow up picture 10-years post-surgery, lip has mild shortening which might require revision (b) Patient also has nevus of Ota, right orbit.
Each other far better at this young age, this can be observed with the on table results and with the long term outcomes. Having said that, it is up to the discretion of each surgeon to do what works better in their hands.

Opposite vectors, “Tug of War phenomenon”

The fact primary correction requires simultaneous repair of both lip and the nose, a question arises: Will one of them need to be compromised?!

Many surgeons would have good lip results but less than average noses, and vice versa. This is also why secondary “cleft rhinoplasty” in adults is not being combined with lip revision. The Nasal and Lip units share borders and when there is clefting, it acts very much like a malformation.

Parameters as presurgical orthopedic manipulation, strict collaborative programs and compliance, play major role in outcomes of cleft surgeons [2,4,22]. The cleft nose deformity correction whether primary or secondary has been a daunting task to so many cleft surgeons to the extent one author very humbly admitted, it has been impossible in their hands to correct this deformity [24].

In fact, very few “Aesthetic Rhinoplasty” surgeons would
like to deal with cleft noses. When an adult patient with con- 
genital anomaly consults a Rhinoplasty surgeon, they would have very high expectations, simply because they consulted a 
esthetic surgeon. The surgeon in turn knows, they would 
not be able to reach a result anywhere close to their average 
cosmetic rhinoplasties [2,26].

When one is working into opposite vectors, it is difficult 
to reach optimum harmony, a compromise on either side is 
expected. Tissue’s do their best to return where they used to 
be, while combating with the fibrosis created by the surgical 
intervention. Hence our analogy, “Tug of war” which we 
believe best explains the situation with primary or secondary 
corrections to the nose or to the lip (Figure 20).

The Cantilever concept in the nose is well known, original-
ly of Converse and Millard, when they described a Cantilever 
bone graft secured to the radix in reconstructing the dorsum 
[25].

The cleft lip nasal deformity has a unique pathology [2]. 
The cartilages and skin tend to maintain their memory, and it 
comes mostly under domain of the Reconstructive surgeon, 
who are used to face challenges and are expected to be cre-
ative [26,27].

None of the patients shown in this article at least had pre-
operative NAM or orthopedic manipulations, besides all of 
them had a delayed primary surgery, due to logistic issues.

Disadvantages to our method include: The need for a 
learning curve, potential risk of stitch granuloma or potential 
risk of abnormal scars at the 2 sites of needle induced open-
ings on the radix and nasal infra-tip.

Conclusion

In current era, Surgeon’s satisfaction with BCLND surgery 
is higher compared to UCLND, due to the newer techniques 
enabling to build a less scarred columella meanwhile with the 
advantage of a preexistnet relative nasal symmetry.

Nasal correction aspect is the dominant part of surgery 
in cleft lip, and it is less forgiving compared to the lip surgery 
relatively speaking.

Cleft surgery should only be done by sub specialized sur-
geons.

The cleft Nasal-Lip surgery tends to be more challenging 
with time, because our earlier minor misjudgments tend to 
become more pronounced with several years of follow up. 
New philosophies and approaches to the primary surgery will 
always be evolving.

The Nasal suspension method that lifts the whole nasal 
collapsing “Tent” and holds it into a fixed base (the nasion), is 
a new and solid concept, it promises to be an ultimate correc-
tive approach to the Nasal “patho-anatomy” in children with 
cleft Nasal-Lip deformity.
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