Survival of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest by Early Defibrillation in the Sorrento Peninsula
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Abstract

**Background:** Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a critical public health problem also in Italy. The use of Automated External Defibrillator (AED) by non-healthcare professionals was approved in Italy since 2001. The number of installed AED was increased in Italy annually since 1999 and the number of sold AED was more than 50,000 units until 2017. Nevertheless, there were about 60,000 OHCA annually. For this reason, we investigated, using retrospective regional register study, the efficacy of Public Access Defibrillation (PAD) interventional program in terms of survival rate for patient suffering of OHCA with shockable rhythm rescued by bystanders compared to traditional 118 Emergency Medical Service (EMS) System activation.

**Methods:** We elaborated and activated a PAD program adapted to logistical characteristics of Sorrento Peninsula. After an adequate training period, we proceeded to analyse OHCA register data in the period of time from January 2007 to September 2017. We selected all events of OHCA with presumable cardiac etiology triggered by ventricular fibrillation (VF) or pulseless ventricular tachycardia (pVT) and for these events we compared two different type of intervention: EMS personnel’s rescue (Group A) and bystanders’ rescue (Group B). Furthermore, we analysed the number of OHCA and survivors rate at discharge and at one month. Finally, we studied the negative and positive predictive factors for survivors rate in OHCA patients.

**Results:** 138 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria. There were no differences of age, gender and OHCA setting between two groups. The mean time from collapse to defibrillation was 16.6 ± 5.4 minutes in Group A and 6.9 ± 2.6 minutes in Group B (p = 0.001). 80.2% of OHCA occurred at home, 19.8% occurred outdoors. The increase of early survival was statistically significant, and it was in 28% in Group A and of 45% in Group B (p = 0.05) (95% CI 1.044 - 1.065). Furthermore, the survival rate at one month decreased of 12% in Group A and of 23% in Group B (p = 0.05) (95% CI 1.058 - 1.086).

**Conclusion:** This Registry demonstrated that PAD program is essential to increase the survivors, in particular in regions with densely populated area and with insufficient road network. In these areas it is essential to increase the number of AED installation and the Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) training among the general population. Strong positive predictive factors of outcome were a short delay to defibrillation, bystander CPR and place of collapse.

Introduction

Cardiac Arrest (CA) is defined as an unexpected event leading to sudden death that occurs in subjects with undiagnosed or stable pre-existing cardiac disease in less than one hour and without precocious signs. Some recent clinical studies in Europe showed that the survival after OHCA was increased from 2.5% to 24% after PAD implementation (Table 1) [1-6]. In Italy more than 60,000 citizens die for OHCA every year and the survival rate after PAD program increased
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from 1.4% to 13% during the last ten years (Table 2) [7-15]. Gold standard therapy for pVT and for VF is precocous defibrillation and it is considered the only intervention able to increase the survival in case of OHCA [16-19]. However, success rate in the resuscitation is strictly related to time interval occurring between collapse to defibrillation. 10 minutes delay in using AED is associated with very low survival chances (2%), survival probability decreases of 10% for each minute and long brain damages can occur after 4-5 minutes of anoxia. Correct activation of 4-step “survival chain” plays a fundamental role in providing prompt AED. The organization of volunteer teams trained for BLSD and endowed with AED showed to be a particularly effective instrument to increase survival [20-22].

### Aims

We investigated using retrospective regional register study the efficacy of PAD interventional program in terms of survival rate at discharge and at one month in patients presenting OHCA with shockable rhythm rescued by bystanders compared to traditional 118 EMS System activation. Furthermore, we analysed the negative and positive predictors for survivors rate in OHCA patients.

### Material and Methods

We provided an implementation of early defibrillation project with the organization of a PAD program in the Sorrento Peninsula. This area has a surface of 192.13 Km², longitudinally crossed by Lattari mountain range sloping towards the sea to Punta Campanella. The municipalities involved in the project were Vico Equense, Meta, Piano di Sorrento, Sant’agnello, Sorrento and Massa Lubrense, with 81.810 citizens in total. Four different models of AED were provided from June 2012 (Powerheart G3 Plus Cardiac Science, Powerheart G5 Cardiac Science, LifePak CR Plus Physio Control, Heart start Onsite Philips) with electrocardiographic data store. A total of 128 AED was installed in post offices, schools, pharmacies, hotels, seaside resorts, businesses, restaurants, malls, sports fields, train stations and main squares (Figure 1). For our study, 501 voluntairs were trained in the AED use and their cardiopulmonary resuscitation skills were refreshed. First responders were trained in pairs for 8 hour sessions. Refresher training was conducted at 24 months intervals. 138 patients that has OHCA in the Sorrento Peninsula area from January 2012 to September 2017 were eligible for our analyses. The trial population included patients aged > 18 years who underwent OHCA of cardiac aetiology, excluding traumatic causes. All data were obtained from the Registry of the Emergency Medical System (EMS) 118 ASL NA3 of Sorrento Peninsula. The collected data were: Setting of the Emergency Medical System (EMS) 118 ASL NA3 of Sorrento Peninsula. The collected data were: Setting of OHCA, the estimated moment of the collapse, rate of the witnesses OHCA, rate of bystander CPR applied, rate of defibrillation (including defibrillation by EMS), rate of return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) prior to arrival at the hospital, the number of delivered defibrillation shocks, sequence of events, and relevant time points at 30 day survival rate according to the Utstein style [23]. The electrocardiograms were downloaded from AED and from manual defibrillators, voice recordings were downloaded from AED. Time of call, dispatching, and first responders’ and ambulances’ arrival on the scene were obtained from EMS computers. The dates of death or discharge were obtained from hospital records. Then for all selected OHCA events we compared two different type of intervention: EMS personnel’s rescue (Group A)
and bystanders’ rescue (Group B). Finally, we analysed as predictive factor the time from CA to defibrillation, presence of shockable rhythm, bystander as first rescuer, the setting of OHCA, timing of call to EMS, road condition, gender, age, presence or absence of concomitant diseases, number of shocks with AED.

**Statistical Analysis**

Chi-square test for categorical variables was used to test the relationship between sudden death occurred in case of resuscitation attempt performed by 118 emergency system (Group A) and performed by bystanders (Group B). Unpaired Student’s t-test for normally distributed variables and Mann-Whitney U-test for non-normally distributed variables were used to test the statistical significance at a p-value < 0.05. The statistically software used was SPSS Inc., Advanced Model 15.0 (Chicago Illinois).

**Results**

A total number of 138 reported cases fitting the inclusion criteria. Demographic data showed no difference between groups (Table 3). The mean time from collapse to defibrillation was 16.6 ± 5.4 minutes in Group A and 6.9 ± 2.6 minutes in Group B (p < 0.001), 80.2% of OHCA occurred at home, 19.2% outdoors. The time arrival of EMS to the emergency scene was 16.3 ± 3.2 minutes (Group A) and 18.4 ± 3.4 minutes (Group B) (n.s.). The time from collapse to CPR decrease from 16.4 ± 3.2 minutes (Group A) to 5.1 ± 2.3 minutes (group B) (p < 0.05). For a total of 138 patients, 115 patients (83.3%) were rescued by Group A while 23 patients (16.7%) were rescued by Group B. Sudden death occurred in 84 of 115 patients (73%) in which the resuscitation was attempted by Group A and in 11 of 23 patients (47.8%) in which the resuscitation was attempted by Group B (p < 0.05) (Figure 2). The increase of early survival was statistically significant, and it was of 27% in Group A and of 52.2% in Group B (p 0.05) (95% CI 1.044 - 1.065). There was also a decrease of the survival rate at one month: 12% in Group A and 23% in Group B (p 0.05) (95% CI 1.058 - 1.086). The number of shocks recorded was less than 4 in 21.2% of cases (Group A) and in 50.2% of cases (Group B) (p < 0.05). Analysing data from both groups we found negative predictive factors and positive predictive factors of outcome (Table 4).

![Figure 1: AED Distribution in Sorrento Peninsula.](image-url)
The number of shocks recorded are less than 4 in 21.2% of cases in Group A and in 50.2% of cases in Group B (p < 0.05). Choosing the best threshold value at 4, the delivery of < 4 shocks predicted survivors. Indeed there was a reduction of survival rate for > 4 shocks. According to the AHA 2015 OHCA guidelines, a defibrillation shock can be delivered every 2 min and the following four shocks can be delivered with a delay of 8-10 min. Furthermore, the EMS response time is critical. Road traffic, especially during summer time, and absence of alternative itineraries through secondary road often delays emergency vehicles arrival. Road traffic and bad roads condition were identified as negative predictive factor of outcome after OHCA (Table 4). Other negative predictors of outcome were lack of resources and barriers. The increase in EMS response time that was observed is worrying, according by Wissenberg, et al. experience. The fact that having VF or pVT at home gives a smaller chance of initial resuscitation success when simultaneously considering the number of delivered defibrillation shocks during the first 30 min of CPR. The number of shocks recorder are less than 4 in 21.2% of cases in Group A and in 50.2% of cases in Group B (p < 0.05). Choosing the best threshold value at 4, the delivery of < 4 shocks predicted survivors. Indeed there was a reduction of survival rate for > 4 shocks. According to the AHA 2015 OHCA guidelines, a defibrillation shock can be delivered every 2 min and the following four shocks can be delivered with a delay of 8-10 min. Furthermore, the EMS response time is critical. Road traffic, especially during summer time, and absence of alternative itineraries through secondary road often delays emergency vehicles arrival. Road traffic and bad roads condition were identified as negative predictive factor of outcome after OHCA (Table 4). Other negative predictors of outcome were lack of resources and barriers. The increase in EMS response time that was observed is worrying, according by Wissenberg, et al. experience. The fact that having VF or pVT at home gives a smaller chance of initial resuscitation success when simultaneously considering

**Discussion**

Our Registry assessed the performance of bystanders’ CPR and AED use for improving survival from OHCA in a particular region of Italy. According to Rochester study, the Authors showed that endowing police teams with AED reduced response time interval to 6 min and provides a survival rate of 65.2% by bystander-witnesses VF/pVT. As expected, there was a clear inverse association between the delay to defibrillation and survival. These results highlighted the importance of reducing the delay to defibrillation even further, with the help of AED proper allocations in public access all over the region. We found a decreased delay defibrillation with the raise of AED allocations. According to American Heart Association (AHA) 2015 guidelines, it is desirable to install AED in locations where OHCA is more frequent. In our study, we determined also the number of delivered defibrillation shocks during the first 30
age, gender and delay, is surprising. However other factors, such as comorbidity, probably influenced the results. In Danish Cardiac Arrest Registry [35] adult survivors of OHCA that received bystander’s cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) included defibrillation showed significantly decrease of all-cause mortality at 1 year compared to survivors that didn’t received Bystanders’ CPR. The rate of bystanders’ CPR performed on these patients were from 66.7% to 80.6% (P < 0.001) during the study period; the rate of bystanders’ defibrillation were raised from 2.1% to 16.8% (P < 0.001). The Danish Author explained that during the study many initiatives began in Denmark for obtaining these improvements, like mandatory CPR courses as in elementary schools, as for obtaining driving license. Recently, also in Italy with the law of the “Good School” [36] the education of the CPR and the use of the AED started. However, even in Italy, it is important that each cardiac arrest intervention project had a database of the interventions. The registries can be used to determine how often bystanders perform CPR and defibrillate patients. We observed that in Sorrento Peninsula there was a marked increase of bystanders’ CPR and bystanders’ defibrillation after the organization of PAD program. Collecting high-quality data is essential and forms the basis for healthcare decisions and for resources allocation [37].

Conclusion

This Registry demonstrated that the PAD program is essential for increase survival after OHCA, in particular in region with densely populated areas and with insufficient road network. In this areas it is necessary to raise the number of AED installation. Furthermore, it is desirable to install AED in location where OHCA is more frequent according to American Heart Association (AHA) 2015 guidelines. It is also important to spread BLS education further in the ordinary citizens. Finally, this Registry showed that positive predictive factors of outcome are a short delay to defibrillation, bystanders’ CPR and the place of collapse.
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