Orbit Reconstruction with 3D Printed PEKK Implant
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Abstract

Introduction: Alloplastic materials are used in facial bony reconstruction, but the ideal material for such procedures has yet to be elucidated. 3D printed polymers are a promising new material for use in bony reconstructive procedures. This article documents the novel usage of polyetherketoneketone (PEKK) in an orbital floor reconstruction.

Report of case: We report the case of a patient who experienced a traumatic left-sided orbital floor fracture and received a porous polyethylene implant. This inadequately restored the orbital floor, and the patient underwent a revision surgery with this defect with PEKK.

Discussion and conclusion: This is the first case to demonstrate PEKK use in facial bony reconstruction. The patient’s condition improved remarkably after revision surgery with this material, which is encouraging for the future use of 3D printed polymers in reconstruction procedures.

Introduction

A variety of materials can be used in bony reconstruction of the orbit [1,2]. Autologous grafts are widely utilized, as are alloplastic materials including hydroxyapatite, porous polyethylene, and titanium [1,3]. While these materials are widely accepted, each carry a unique risk-benefit profile. Autologous bone grafts are highly biocompatible and have reduced risk of infection, but have disadvantages including donor site morbidity, difficulty with contouring and unpredictable resorption in the long term [2,4]. Titanium mesh more accurately restores orbital defects when compared to bone [5], but its usage is associated with orbital adherence syndrome [6,7]. Polydioxanone foil results in better post-operative outcomes than titanium implants, yet is most efficacious in defects between 250-300 mm³ [8]. Porous polyethylene is biocompatible and can be easily shaped and fixed [9,10]. However, porous polyethylene has also been documented to result in unsatisfactory appearances, foreign body granuloma formation, and infection [4,11,12]. Hydroxyapatite similarly carries a high infection rate likely due to loss of structural integrity over time [13]. This was just a brief review of some of the implant materials currently available. In sum, the search for the ideal material is still under way.

Several studies have detailed the safety and effectiveness of 3D printed polymers in cranioplasty [14,15], spinal implants [16], and mandibular defect repair [17]. Polymers, such as polyetheretherketone (PEEK) and polyetherketoneketone (PEKK), are radiolucent, biomechanically stable, and can be fabricated to fit a unique defect, resulting in high-quality aesthetic outcomes [16,18]. A 2009 paper by Kim, et al. detailed the use of patient specific PEEK implants in four different maxillofacial reconstruction cases. PEEK implants improved facial contours and restored bony structures in the orbitomaxillary, superior orbital cranial, and anterior frontal sinus regions [19]. While PEEK has a longer history of use, PEKK shares similar biomechanical characteristics and has been shown to have favorable antibacterial properties [20]. In addition, this material has also been shown to support a high degree of bone apposition [21].

The literature documenting PEEK use in facial bony defects, mandibular repair, and spinal implants provides promise for PEKK’s use in similar cases. In this paper, we document the usage of a patient specific PEKK implant in a revision surgery addressing an orbital floor fracture due to left-sided face trauma.

Case Presentation

The patient was a 50-year-old man who presented with...
orbital dystopia and discomfort related to a malpositioned orbital floor implant. In summary, the patient sustained an orbital floor fracture after a motor vehicle collision with a deer two months prior to presentation. His initial treatment included fracture reconstruction with Caldwell-Luc maxillary antrostomy and balloon approximation of the floor fracture at another institution two weeks after the accident. A second treating physician diagnosed the patient with ongoing significant floor displacement with prolapse of orbital contents into maxillary sinus six weeks after original repair. The decision was made to place a porous polyethylene implant to restore inadequately reduced orbital volume.

The patient presented to us one month later for urgent evaluation after developing pain and fullness in his lower eyelid. Cross-sectional imaging demonstrated incomplete reconstruction of the orbit with evidence of a radiolucent implant CT showed inadequate reduction of the orbital floor and medial orbital wall (Figure 1). The implant was cantilevered on the orbital rim with its anterior portion protruding into the orbicularis. The posterior aspect failed to reach the keystone area with orbital contents still herniating into the maxillary sinus. On exam, the patient had severe vertical dystopia with his visual axis coincident with the inferior orbital rim (Figure 2). He had diplopia at rest and with gaze in all directions in addition to mild left V2 numbness and paresthesia. After counseling and discussion, the patient agreed to implant removal and revision with a 3D-printed implant. After virtual surgical planning (Figure 3), the patient underwent repeat surgery through a transconjunctival, retroseptal approach.

Reconstruction was performed with a patient-specific 3-mm thick PEKK implant designed to recreate the orbital floor and medial orbital wall. In addition, the implant augmented the inferior orbital rim where it was previously

Figure 1: Preoperative CT Face, midsagittal view of left orbit.

Figure 3: Virtual 3D image demonstrating planned implant reconstruction of orbital floor, medial orbital wall and inferior orbital rim.

Figure 2: Preoperative appearance with severe orbital dystopia and enophthalmos.

Figure 4: Intraoperative view of PEKK implant fixated along inferomedial orbital rim with transconjunctival access.
the use of PEKK in the face and around the sinus mucosa. In this case, PEKK was used in the revision of an orbital floor and medial wall blow out fracture that was previously inadequately addressed by porous polyethylene. While the patient continues to have some asymmetry likely due to the severity of his injury and multiple operations, the patient specific PEKK implant improved his vision and orbit position.

PEEK implants have been used in the face, sinus mucosa, and orbital floor. PEKK has similar biocompatibility to PEEK but has some unique advantages. It has been shown to possess antibacterial properties and promotes bone apposition [20,21]. PEKK can be printed in simple and elaborate shapes with varying thicknesses. It can also be smoothed or dyed based on clinical applications. Our center continues to research PEKK’s role in bony reconstruction and augmentation of the head and neck. Further research is needed to make concrete conclusions about PEKK’s efficacy and to determine long term outcomes. Long term data with a similar variant to PEKK suggest a safe profile in a variety of surgical contexts [19,22-24]. Based off of this case report, PEKK holds much promise.

Discussion

Although there is data to support the use of polymer implants in the body globally, this is the first report to document the use of PEKK in the face and around the sinus mucosa. Fixation was performed with a single 4-mm titanium screw. At follow up one month later (Figure 5 and Figure 6), the patient continued to have pseudo-enophthalmos and hypoglobus despite our bony reconstruction. The patient had developed severe periorbital fat atrophy. Six months later he underwent revision surgery with stacked porous polyethylene sheets to improve the soft tissue-bony volume relationship. He also required ectropion repair with midface flap elevation, suspension and acellular dermal matrix graft for posterior lamella interposition. His postoperative course for both procedures were uncomplicated with respect to major medical or surgical complications. At 16-month follow-up, the patient presented with stabilization of the orbital floor and with improved but persistent 2-mm hypoglobus and enophthalmos. His vision is drastically improved with some diplopia at extreme gaze. He does not require prisms to activities of daily living nor has he required any strabismus procedures.
Conflicts of Interest
None declared.

References