Prognostic Value of Tumour-Infiltrating Lymphocytes Changes between Pre and Post-Neoadjuvant Treatment and in Residual Disease in Triple Negative Breast Cancer
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Abstract

Introduction: Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a heterogeneous disease with different biological behaviours and aggressiveness. Cancer immune edition has been revealed as a major hallmark in cancer. In patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) it is the perfect setting to study possible changes in tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) levels since we have histological sample pre-treatment after NAC lacking pathological complete response (pCR). Several recent clinical studies have evaluated TILs in TNBC patients with different methodological approaches. Recently, the International TILs Working Group proposed that full sections are preferred over core biopsies.

Material and methods: Using our prospective maintained BC database we identified 164 TNBC patients treated with NAC between 1998 and 2015 with enough samples of diagnostic biopsy and after surgery. Evaluation of TILs after NAC was following a standardized methodology for visual assessment on haematoxylin-eosin (HE) full sections and stromal TIL was measured as a continuous variable. The primary end point was prognostic value of TILs in residual disease. The secondary endpoint was influence of change in TIL score before and after NAC in disease free survival (DFS). DFS was analysed with Kaplan-Meier method and groups were compared with long-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox models were used to generate hazard ratios (HR) for determining associations between variables and DFS.

Results: 103 TNBC patients had residual disease after NAC. At a median follow-up of 78 months, lymphocyte-predominant breast cancer (LPBC) was associated with better DFS; the 6-year Kaplan-Meier estimates for DFS were 66.2% and 23% for patients with LPBC and non-LPBC, respectively (p = 0.001). About influence of change in TIL score before and after NAC in disease free survival (DFS); median DFS in non-changes-group was 40 months (95% CI 8.1-71 months), decrease TILs group 154 months (95% CI 9-371 months), and increase TILs group was not reached (p = 0.001). By univariate analysis, increase TILs levels between and after NAC vs. decrease or non-changes levels HR 4.01, (95% CI 1.69-9.59; p = 0.002). And by multivariate analysis TILs levels in residual disease HR 2.92 (95% CI 1.05-9.66; p = 0.040).

Conclusions: TILs changes between pre and post-neoadjuvant treatment and in residual disease in triple negative breast cancer proportionate relevant prognostic in this study TNBC.
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Introduction

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a heterogeneous disease with different biological behaviours and aggressiveness. Cancer immune edition has been revealed as a major hallmark in cancer. As tumour evolve, their specific stroma turns into a microenvironment with overwhelming density of immunosuppressive cells such as regulatory T cells (Treg), tumour associated macrophages (TAM), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and T-cell of Th-2 type response among others [1]. Normal breast tissue does not contain large aggregates of immune cells, but breast tumours and their adjacent stroma display higher levels of immune-cells infiltrates [2]. In breast cancer, T infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) as a whole (helper T cells, cytotoxic T cells, Treg) nowadays represent a putative prognostic and predictive biomarker [3-4].

Recently, the International TILs Working Group proposed a standardized methodology to measure TILs in breast cancer though visual assessment on haematoxylin and eosin sections [5]. Main conclusions of this consensus were that full sections were preferred over biopsies, and TILs should be measured as a continuous variable as a percentage. Besides, stromal compartment is at the moment the location where TILs may proportionate more value information from clinical point of view [6].

In patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) it is the perfect setting to study possible changes in TIL levels since we have histological sample pre-treatment and after NAC lacking pathological complete response (pCR) [7]. However, NAC selects a subpopulation of chemotherapy-resistant tumours cells (non-pCR 55-70% in TNBC), and patients lacking pCR have unfavourable recurrence-free survival and overall survival [8].

Basal TILs or pre-NAC have a predictive value for response to chemotherapy in TNBC [4,6]. However, clinic-pathological biomarkers are not sufficiently accurate to distinguish the patients who could relapse. In patients without pCR, TILs in residual carcinoma after NAC may therefore help in assessing risk and stratifying adjuvant treatment in patients and new strategies should be considered to improve the outcome of TNBC patients [9].

We aimed to investigate the prognostic value of TIL changes before and after neoadjuvant treatment and to confirm prognostic value of TILs in residual disease.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A retrospective, single-institution study was conducted at Valencian Institute of Oncology (Valencia, Spain) with ethics committee approval. We based on a maintained database for patients with locally advanced breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant treatment between 1998 and 2015.

Patients were included in the study if they had TN breast cancer (ER- PR- and HER2-). The threshold for ER and PR positivity were set at 1% using immunochemical staining. Patients were treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) based on anthracycline and taxane regimen. Clinic-pathological information was obtained from the database; age, grade, tumour size, histological classification, lymph node status, lymph vascular- invasion, ki67 and adjuvant treatment.

Samples

Haematoxylin-eosin (HE) samples were reviewed by a breast pathologist (FG) who was blinded to the patient profiles. The evaluation of TILs was following a standardized methodology for visual assessment on HE sections and TILs were measured as a continuous variable [4]. He defined TIL score as the proportion of the stromal area infiltrated by lymphocytes following the recommendations of the International TIL breast cancer working group. Recently, the International TILs Working Group proposed that full sections are preferred over core biopsies [5]. Representative slide containing relatively high amount of lymphocytic infiltration around invasive cancer was selected for each patient. Due to the heterogeneity of TILs, with different intensities of lymphocytes in different areas, hot spots at the invasive edge were avoided.

Outcomes

Pathological complete response (pCR) was defined as no residual invasive carcinoma in breast (ypT0 or ypTis) and negative lymph node status (ypN0).

Prognostic information was retrospectively obtained from a maintained clinical database. Disease free survival (DFS) was defined as the period of time between surgery and breast cancer relapsed, death of any cause or latest follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS version 22.0. We categorized lymphocyte-predominant breast cancer (LPBC) cut-off according to a COR analysis. The association between clinical and pathologic parameters was tested with x^2 test for categorical variables. Mean differences were studied with the T-test. DFS was analysed with Kaplan-Meier method and groups were compared with long-rank test. For univariate analysis, the difference between survival function was calculated using univariate Cox model. Multivariate
Cox proportional hazard regression model was used for multivariate analysis, which included all prognostic factors that were significant in univariate analysis.

**Results**

**Patients’ characteristics and TILs in residual disease**

A total of 756 patients diagnosed with locally advanced invasive carcinomas and treated with NAC followed by surgery were identified from 1998 to 2015. Based on the criteria described, 181 patients were diagnosed with TNB. Of them, 164 patients (90.6%) had enough sample available before and after NAC. In our study, 61 patients (37.2%) presented pCR (ypT0/ypTis ypN0) and 103 patients (62.8%) presented residual disease.

The detailed distribution of TILs in residual disease is detailed in Figure 1. Median TIL in residual disease was 5% (0-80%). Main samples (86.7%) presented TILs from 1% to 10%. Lymphocyte-predominant breast cancer (LPBC) cut-off was >1% lymphocytic infiltration tumour stroma (p = 0.001). We categorized LPBC 60 patients (58.3%) meanwhile 43 patients (44.7%) were non-LPBC.

Table 1: Detailed distributions of clinical and pathological characteristics between LPBC group and non-LPBC group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TIL in residual disease</th>
<th>X²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 40 y</td>
<td>30 (49%)</td>
<td>27 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage cTNM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ila</td>
<td>21 (34.4%)</td>
<td>5 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>23 (37.7%)</td>
<td>9 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>10 (16.4%)</td>
<td>10 (23.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>9 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIc</td>
<td>6 (9.8%)</td>
<td>9 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal</td>
<td>39 (63.9%)</td>
<td>25 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobulillar</td>
<td>22 (36.1%)</td>
<td>17 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No DCIS in core biopsy</td>
<td>40 (65.6%)</td>
<td>31 (73.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>16 (26%)</td>
<td>11 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolympovascular invasion</td>
<td>45 (73.8%)</td>
<td>35 (83.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki67 &lt; 50%</td>
<td>8 (16%)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High TIL in core biopsy</td>
<td>54 (88.5%)</td>
<td>42 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
teristics between LPBC and non-LPBC group are summarized in Table 1. There were no differences between median ages, histology, DCIS associated, histological grade, lymph vascular-invasion, Ki67 marker, neither NAC regimen. However, LPBC group presented higher clinical stage II, (72.1% vs. 33.3% p = 0.002) and breast conservative surgery (55.7% vs. 22% p = 0.001) than non-LPBC. In residual disease, there were no differences between residual focus neither DCIS associated, histological grade, lymph vascular-invasion, neither NAC regimen. However, no changes TILs presented higher pathological stage (stage III 50% vs. 13.2%, p = 0.048) and lymph vascular-invasion (p = 0.048).

### TILs changes between pre and post NAC

103 with non-pCR, pair-matched (pre and post NAC) were available and TIL in core biopsy and surgical samples were compared. Changes in TILs scores were classified as decrease, increase and no-change. The proportions cases in each subgroup are summarized: 50 patients (48.6%) had no-change, 26 patients (25.2%) decrease TILs score after NAC and 27 patients (26.2%) increase TIL score. The detailed distribution of TILs before and after NAC is detailed in Figure 2.

The detailed distributions of clinical and pathological characteristics and treatment are summarized in Table 2. There were no differences between median age, histology, DCIS associated, histological grade, lymph vascular-invasion, Ki67 marker, neither NAC regimen. However, no changes TILs group presented higher clinical stage III, (62% vs. 30.7% and 22.2%, p = 0.014) than decreased and increased TILs groups, respectively. In residual disease, there were no differences between residual focus neither DCIS associated, lymph vascular-invasion neither pathological stage.

### Prognostic value of TIL in residual disease and TIL score changes between pre and post NAC treatment

At a median follow-up of 78 months, 6 year-recurrence was 66.2% in non-LPBC group and 23% in LPBC (p = 0.001). Figure 3 illustrates disease-free survival curves according to LPBC and non-LPBC groups post chemotherapy.

By univariate and multivariate analysis, variables associated with an increased recurrence are described in Tables 3 and Table 4, respectively.

By univariate analysis, TILs levels in residual disease after NAC HR 4.27, (95% CI 2.29-7.98; p = 0.001). However, by multivariate analysis TILs levels in residual disease HR 1.59 (95% CI 0.70-3.59; p = 0.265).

On the other hand, TILs score changes between pre and post NAC treatment prognostic value was also evaluated. 6
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**Table 2:** Detailed distributions of clinical and pathological characteristics between non-changes level group, decrease and increased TILs level groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TILs changes between pre and post NAC</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 40 y cTNM</td>
<td>Increase 14 (52%) Decreased 15 (57%) No-changes 28 (56%)</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low TIL pre NAC</td>
<td>Increase 28 (100%) Decreased 20 (76.9%) No-changes 46 (92%)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antracyclines + taxanes</td>
<td>Increase 26 (96%) Decreased 23 (89%) No-changes 46 (92%)</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2: TILs changes before and after NAC.

Figure 3: Disease-free survival curves according to LPBC and non-LPBC groups (post-chemotherapy).
Table 3: Univariate analysis details: Variables associated with an increased recurrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR (CI 95%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage TNM III vs. II</td>
<td>HR 2.22 (CI 1.51-4.21)</td>
<td>p = 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cT; &gt; 2 cm vs. &lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>HR 1.76 (CI 0.24-12.77)</td>
<td>p = 0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cN; cN + vs. cN0</td>
<td>HR 2.50 (CI 1.39-4.49)</td>
<td>p = 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III vs. I-II in core biopsy</td>
<td>HR 1.39 (CI 0.79-2.44)</td>
<td>p = 0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low TIL vs. High TIL in core biopsy</td>
<td>HR 3.44 (CI 1.78-6.65)</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIL changes pre and post NAC; Decrease or non-changes vs. increase</td>
<td>HR 4.01 (CI 1.69-9.59)</td>
<td>p = 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic complete response; Non-pCR vs. pCR</td>
<td>HR 2.89 (CI 1.56-5.36)</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual disease stage ypTNM II-III vs. I</td>
<td>HR 4.28 (CI 1.91-9.59)</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ypT; residual breast tumor &gt; 2 cm vs. &lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>HR 3.01 (CI 1.66-5.44)</td>
<td>p = 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ypN; ypN + vs. ypN0</td>
<td>HR 3.66 (CI 2.19-6.12)</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low TIL vs. High TIL in residual disease</td>
<td>HR 4.27 (CI 2.29-7.98)</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Multivariate analysis details: Variables associated with an increased recurrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR (CI 95%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cN; N + vs. N0</td>
<td>HR 2.82 (CI 1.21-6.80)</td>
<td>p = 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIL changes pre and post NAC; Decrease or non-changes vs. increase</td>
<td>HR 3.10 (CI 1.05-9.66)</td>
<td>p = 0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ypT; tumor residual &gt; 2 cm vs. &lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>HR 2.92 (CI 1.51-5.25)</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low TIL vs. High TIL in residual disease</td>
<td>HR 1.59 (CI 0.70-3.59)</td>
<td>p = 0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low TIL vs. High TIL in core biopsy</td>
<td>HR 1.51 (CI 0.31-7.29)</td>
<td>p = 0.604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Disease-free survival curves according to TILs level changes groups.
year-recurrence was 56.6% in non-changes group, 38.9% in decrease TIL score group and 16.5% in increase TIL score group (p = 0.001).

TILs score changes proved to have significant prognostic value (p = 0.001) regarding DFS. Median DFS in non-changes-group was 40 months (95% CI 8.1-71 months), decreased TILs group 154 months (95% CI 9-371 months), and increased TILs group was not reached (p = 0.001).

Figure 4 illustrates disease-free survival curves according to no changes/decrease and increased TIL score groups.

By univariate analysis, increase TILs score between and after NAC vs. decrease or non-changes levels HR 4.01, (95% CI 1.69-9.59; p = 0.002). And by multivariate analysis TILs levels in residual disease HR 2.92 (95% CI 1.05-9.66; p = 0.040).

Discussion

In summary, we investigate the utility of TILs following the scoring system of the International TIL Breast Cancer Working Group [5]. In this retrospective study, we report the prognostic value of TILs in residual disease after NAC.

On the other hand, data support the immunomodulatory effects of chemotherapy [10]. Indeed, chemotherapy might relieve immunosuppression and reset attenuated functional immunity. An immune response could also be triggered by chemotherapy-induced cell death; this response might contribute to eliminating the remaining viable tumour in the breast and distant micro metastasis [8]. In this study, we report the prognostic value of TIL changes between core biopsy and surgical samples in TNBC.

Cut-off point

We recognised heterogeneity of TILs cut-off. TILs were analysed as a continuous variable and as a dichotomous ortrichotomous variable with one or two cut-off [9,11-13] there is no formal recommendation for clinical relevant threshold yet.

The German Breast Cancer Group (GBC) determined high TILs-LPBC (60-100%), intermediate TILs (11-59%) and low TIL (0-10%) [11]. However, GBC take into account stromal and intratumoral TILs. Although, Hida, et al. divided also into three different groups but take into account just stromal TILs [1,14].

On the other hand, The TIL Working Group describes the term lymphocytic-predominant breast cancer (LPBC) for tumours that harbour a very high immune infiltrate > 50% [4]. And theses LPBC may represent from 13-30% of breast cancer overall and there are differences depending on the specific subtypes, being more frequent in TNBC [11].

In one of the largest studied cohorts of women with lymph-node-positive disease, the median percentage of stromal tissue infiltrated with TILs was 20% in TNBC [9]. In our study median TIL in residual disease was 5% (0-80%). Main samples (86.7%) presented TILs from 1% to 10%. We performed a ROC curve and we categorized LPBC as the involving > 1% stromal TILs. Our study had several limitations in terms of analytical validation; we did not prove the robustness and reproducibility of the TILs cut-off. However, the richness and diversity of antitumor immune response is, of course, severely underestimated by assessment with HE [15-17].

Prognostic value of TILs changes between pre and post NAC

Hida, et al. reported 26 pair-matched TNBC samples TIL scores of pre-treatment biopsy and post-treatment surgical samples compared and classified as decrease, increase and no change TIL score. Patients with decreased TIL tended to have a higher rate of relapse, but the difference was not significant in that small setting. However, difference between these three groups was not significant because the absence of events in the high-TIL group [3].

In our study we report 103 pair-matched TNBC samples. Changes in TIL scores were classified as decrease, increase and no-change. The proportions of cases in each subgroup are summarized: 50 patients (48.6%) had no-change, 26 patients (25.2%) decrease TILs score after NAC and 27 patients (26.2%) increase TIL score.

6 year-recurrence was 56.6% in non-change TIL group, 38.9% in decrease TIL group and 16.5% in increase TIL group (p = 0.001). Figure 4 illustrates disease-free survival curves according to no changes, decrease and increase TILs groups.

TILs score changes proved to have significant prognostic value (p = 0.001) regarding DFS. Median DFS in non-changes-group was 40 months (95% CI 8.1-71 months), decreased TILs group 154 months (95% CI 9-371 months), and increased TILs group was not reached (p = 0.001).

Moreover, univariate and multivariate analysis confirmed TIL score changes to be an independent prognostic marker of DFS. By univariate analysis, increased TIL score between and after NAC vs. decreased or non-changes levels HR 4.01, (95% CI 1.69-9.59; p = 0.002). And by multivariate analysis TILs levels in residual disease HR 2.92 (95% CI 1.05-9.66; p = 0.040).

Prognostic value of TILs in surgical specimens in adjuvant and NAC studies

TILs have been evaluated in the past 10 years in nearly 16,000 patients in prospective studies with clinical follow-up data available, which highlights a rapid accumulation of evidence [3,6,9]. In adjuvant setting, BIG-02-98 trial, with 2009 breast cancer patients and 256 TNBC, suggested that higher TIL levels were associated to higher DFS and OS [6]. After that, two different adjuvant studies ECOG 2197 y 1199 with 481 TNBC patients confirmed it [18]. Therefore, Simon, et al. the value reported that prognostic value of TILs in TNBC could be considered such as Level I evidence [19].

At neoadjuvant treatment, TILs in specimen have been also evaluated. Dieci, et al. reported the prognostic value of TILs in residual disease after NAC in TNBC [20]. However, in Hida’s study the difference between these three groups was not significant because the absence of events in the high-TIL.
In our study, at a median follow-up of 78 months, LPBC was associated with better DFS; 6-year Kaplan-Meier estimates 23% recurrence and 66.2% for patients with LPBC and non-LPBC, respectively (p = 0.001). By univariate analysis, TILs levels in residual disease after NAC presented HR 4.27, (95% CI 2.29-7.98; p = 0.001). However, by multivariate analysis TILs levels in residual disease HR 1.59 (95% CI 0.70-3.59; p = 0.265).

TIL’s subtypes as biomarker of residual disease

In TNBC residual disease following NAC is considered a very bad prognostic marker [21]. However, recent data has showed that the presence of TILs after NAC in residual disease confers a good prognosis in an otherwise poor prognosis group [22]. Interestingly, TILs are dissected into their different subtypes and CD8 + T cells are specifically analysed, a higher density of these cytotoxic cells in stroma correlates with better long-term breast cancer specific survival in the TNBC. In the case of FOXP3 Tregs, these immunosuppressive cells hinder an effective activity of cytotoxic T cells, and thus the CD8 + T cells/FOXP3 + Tregs ratio could be another interesting parameter to be evaluated in this context. Some studies have revealed that a high scoring in the CD8 + T cells/FOXP3 + Tregs ratio predict long term relapsed-free survival and overall survival and, therefore, it could represent another valuable prognostic tool in the coming future [15,23].

In addition to TILs, and their subtypes, other immune elements have been recently evaluated in breast cancer. This is the case of PDL1, one of the two ligands described for the co-inhibitory molecules PD1 which can be expressed on tumour and immune cells. The PD1/PDL1 axis is a major target for immunotherapy, and its modulation seems to be one of the main determinants for efficacy with the ne immunomodulatory monoclonal antibodies [24,25]. A recent study in 110 breast cancer patients demonstrated PDL1 positivity (> 1%) in 23.6% of patients, being more frequent in TNBC than in the other subtypes [3].

On the other hand, tumour-intrinsic signalling pathways that contribute to suppression of host immunity remains poorly understood. A study of residual disease in TNBC following NAC found that transcriptional activity in RAS/MAPK pathways was correlated with reduced infiltration of TILs [26]. The combination therapy with MEK inhibitors and with PD/PDL1-1 produced synergistic effects in immuno competent synergetic mouse models of TNBC [26]. This combination might be useful for promoting a more-functional immune microenvironment in patients with TNBC with residual disease after NAC that lacks TILs. Some recent trials have evaluated the efficacy of antibodies directed at PD/PDL1 in patients with TNBC with promising results, demonstrating durable responses in heavily pretreated patients [3,27,28].

TIL should be routinely used such as biomarker because is associated to a favourable balance of benefits of harms: TILs contribute clinically useful information and outweigh cost and inconvenience associated. Combination of TILs after NAC and pCR can enable patient stratification after NAC, and provides an efficient strategy for developing improved therapies.

Conclusions

In summary, prognostic impact of TIL in residual disease is confirmed in this study. Moreover, TIL score changes pre and after NAC have a prognostic value in TNBC. Although our findings may not change standard treatment options for locally advanced TNBC, TIL evaluation could be included in the standard histopathological practise as stratification factor in future clinical studies. Moreover, strategies should be considered to improve the outcome of TNBC such as immune-check point inhibitors in patients with worse prognosis.

Acknowledgements

Pathology Department Valencian Institute of Oncology.

Conflict of Interest

This research received a specific grant from Mutual Médica.

References