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Abstract
The present work aims to provide key knowledge on vegetation structure, root biomass distribution and soil carbon stock of savanna agrosystems in Sudano-Sahelian zone of Cameroon. The transect method is used to carry out the investigations in order to characterize the vegetation. The excavation method was used to soil and roots sampling. The experimental device used is the split plot. These results showed that the highest densities were recorded in Anogeissus leiocarpus stands (408 ± 11.12 stems/ha). Higher values of basal area (11.56 ± 0.57 m²/ha), biovolume (116.78 ± 16.57 m³/ha) and eco-volume (157.82 ± 22.12 m³/ha) were recorded in Khaya senegalensis stands. The highest total large roots biomass (65.81 ± 5.37 tC/ha) and fine roots biomass (11.42 ± 0.67 tC/ha) were recorded in Khaya senegalensis stands. The highest total medium root biomass is recorded in Burkea Africana stands (23.21 ± 1.15 TC/ha). The soil carbon stock is higher in Khaya senegalensis stands (132.16 ± 16.34 tC/ha). These results show that savannah agrosystems in Sudano-Sahelian zone of Cameroon can be considered as carbon sinks.
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Introduction
Root biomass is therefore of paramount importance to the contribution of plants to organic carbon in soils and to the real benefits of climate change mitigation methods [1]. The amount of root biomass, but also its carbon concentration, should be considered when trying to measure the carbon reserve of a plant [2]. Carbon variation in the various root sizes must be taken into account in calculating the average carbon concentration of the entire root system [3]. The two main functional roles of the roots are the acquisition of nutrients and water [4]. A number of secondary roles are also important, such as reserve formation, growth regulator production and propagation [5]. The woody roots with bark have rather an anchoring role as well as means of transportation and reserve of nutrients. Fine roots, on the other hand, provide nutrients and water [6]. Climate change, land degradation and loss of biodiversity, soils have become one of the most vulnerable resources in the world. Soils are a major reservoir of carbon [7]. They contain more carbon than the atmosphere and the terrestrial vegetation combined [7]. However, soil organic carbon is dynamic and anthropogenic actions on the soil can make it a sink or a net source of greenhouse gases (GHGs) [7]. Soil organic carbon is the main component of soil organic matter [7]. As an indicator of soil health, soil organic carbon is important for its contributions to food production, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and the achievement of sustainable development goals [8].
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levels of organic matter provide nutrients to plants and improve water availability. Both improve soil fertility and lead to improved food production [7]. Soils being dynamic systems, they generate a multitude of functions supporting several ecosystem services. Ecosystem services can be defined as beneficial flows derived from natural assets and fulfilling human needs [9]. Soil borne ones depends on soil processes and their physico-chemical and biological properties as well as the interaction between mineral and soil compartments [10]. Relatively few studies have linked soil properties to ecosystem services in Africa [9-11]. Soils are the basis for the provision of most of these services [9,11]. In particular, soil processes allow maintenance of the dynamic equilibrium supporting the provision of several ecosystem services [12]. They provide a reservoir of biodiversity that promotes soil resilience to disturbance. Soil development provides soil cover, a physical environment for agriculture. The soil also regulates nutrient recycling, which maintains its fertility through the exchange of mineral elements between its abiotic and biotic components. Finally, soils house part of the water cycle and thus provide a reserve of drinking water. Soils also provide regulatory services [9,11]. Finally, soils provide cultural and aesthetic services such as the maintenance of geological, ecological and archaeological records [10,11]. Several studies have highlighted the important role of soils in several ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration and support for food security [3]. However, as with any natural resource, the formation and degradation of soil capital evolves over time [11], thus maintaining sustainability as one of the current global issues.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted in Northern Region Cameroon. This region is located between latitude 9° 18’ North and longitude 13° 23’ East [13] (Figure 1). The terrain is a wide pediplain Between the Mandara Mountains (1442 m) in the North and the plateau of the Adamawa to the south. The climate is Sudano sahelian type with two seasons: A dry season of duration of six months (November-May) and a rainy season of duration of six months (June-October) [14]. The mean monthly temperature evolving from 26 °C in August to 40 °C in March. The soil is ferruginous type characterized by an acidity (pH = 5.5 to 6), and a low cation exchange capacity [14,15]. The vegetation is a savannah shrub Sudanian zone having an aspect of clear savannah and degraded around the villages [16]. Agriculture is the main activity of the populations in Northern region. The population practice subsistence farming (corn; peanut and mil) [17] (Figure 1).

Data collection

Vegetation structure sampling: Data were collected in transects methods 100 m in length to 50 m in width. These transects were arranged in a north-south direction to cover most or the entire stand studied. The sampling tapes were established using the wires and the compass. At the ends of each strip, the milestones were marked equidistant 20 m from the base. At each distance of 20 m, all the trees have been inventoried. Geographic coordinates were collected using GPS for each tree in the sample to determine its geographical location on the ground. All the trees were systematically counted and measured. Dendrometric data were based on dbh (Diameter of Breast height) and (H) height. The study aimed to compare the vegetation structure in four different stands: (1) Khaya senegalensis...
stands, (2) Burkea africana stands, (3) Anogeissus leio- carpus stands and (4) Piliostigma reticulatum stands in Suda- no-sahelian zone of Cameroon. The aim of the vegetation structure in four different stands focused on: The density (D): D = n/S; D: density (stems/ha), n: number of trees present on the surface considered and S: surface area (ha). The basal area of a tree corresponds to the area occupied by the tree trunk at the level of the dbh. It is given by the formula: Basal area (m$^2$/ha) = (dbh)$^2$ × 0.25 × 9 [18]. Biovol- ume is defined as the volume of wood provided by vegetation in a given area. It allows to timer the wood potential of the plant formation. It is given by the formula of Dawkins [18]: V = 0.53 × gi × Hi × ni with gi: basal area (m$^2$/ha), Hi: height (m); ni: number of individuals; V: biovolume (m$^3$/ha).

According to Roger and Rabarison [19], biovolume is high when it is higher than 250 m$^3$/ha, average when it is between 50 and 250 m$^3$/ha, and low when it is less than 50 m$^3$/ha. We have defined the approach below to estimate the ecovolume (Ev): Ev = S$^{-1}$ΣHi where Hi is the height of each tree i on an area (S) [19].

**Soil and root sampling:** Soil samples are collected in August-September 2017. In each 500 m² survey, soil samples were taken from the 0.25 m × 0.25 m quadrats. These samples are taken at 0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm depth on the elementary plots. Each level of soil depth taken using a machine and a trowel is immediately put in a closed bag in a cooler, in the shade to avoid evaporation. A total of 3 samples were collected per sample unit, which corresponds to a total of 9 samples per stand and 36 samples for all 4 stands studied were dug into the soil to a depth of 30 cm. Whole biomass of large roots (> 5 mm), biomass of medium roots (1 < d < 5 mm) and biomass of fine roots (d< 1 mm) were manually extracted from the soil in the trenches by the successive flotation method, always according to the five levels of sampling depths. All these samples were conditioned/dried in the open air at the pedology laboratory of the Faculty of Management of Natural Renewable Resources of the International University of Central Africa. They were then milled and screened for later use in determining the organic carbon content of the soil. Another sampling of soil samples was done using a 502 cm$^3$ soil cylinder (8 cm diameter and 10 cm height) to determine bulk density. These samples were weighed using a precision scale and then placed in an oven at a tem- perature of 105 °C. They were then removed from the oven and placed in the desiccator for two hours for cooling be- fore being weighed again. The dry weight obtained made it possible to determine the dry biomass and to deduce the organic carbon content of the soil then the soil organic car- bon stock. These analyzes consisted in the determination of the organic carbon was determined by the method of Walkley J. & W. Black. [20], which is an oxidation with po- tassium bicarbonate (K$_2$CO$_3$) in sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$). The assay was done by calorimetry. The organic matter content was obtained by multiplying the organic carbon content by the Springer factor which is 1.724. Determination of bulk density was made by the paraffin method on undisturbed earth clods air dried. This method consists in attaching the material with a wire, then weighing it (P1), then introduc- ing it into a container containing paraffin. After drying and cooling of this material, the material (P2) is weighed again, and then the weight difference is made which is the weight of the added paraffin (P3). Then, the method of Archimedes’ thrust is used to separate the different constituents by finding the volume of the sample (V). The weight of the sample alone (P1) divided by the volume of the sample (V) which gives the value of the apparent density (Da). Da = P/V. The soil carbon stock is obtained by the following for- mula: SCOS (tC/ha) = Da. (% COS) . S. P [21,22] with: Da: ap- parent density in tones/m$^3$; % COS: soil organic carbon con- tent; S: area in m$^2$; p: depth in m. The study aimed to com- pare the distribution of root biomass (fine, medium and large roots) and soil carbon stocks in four different stands: (1) Khaya senegalensis stands, (2) Burkea Africana stands, (3) Anogeissus leio- carpus stands and (4) Piliostigma reticulatum stands in Sudano-sahelian zone of Cameroon.

**Data analysis**

The data were encoded in the EXCEL software and then analyzed using the STATGRAPHICS plus 5.0 software. The sig- nificance and correlation tests were examined with an analy- sis of variance (ANOVA) and the Duncan test at 5%.

**Results and Discussion**

**Vegetation structure in four different stands study**

**Density:** The minimum and maximum values of mean density within (Khaya senegalensis, Burkea africana, Anogeissus leio- carpus, Piliostigma reticulatum) stands are 110-306 stems/ha, 204-590 stems/ha, 305-511 stems/ha, 282-306 stems/ha respective coefficients of variation with 4.12%, 2.73%, 2.72%, 3.07% (Table 1). The coefficient of variation of density within Anogeissus leio- carpus stands (2.72%) is the lowest compared to the others. The analy- sis of variance shows a significant difference (F = 15.65, P = 0.031 < 0.05) between the densities inside the stands. It is highest in Anogeissus leio- carpus stands (408 ± 11.12

---

Table 1: Density in different stands study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stands</th>
<th>Density (stems/ha)</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Khaya senegalensis</td>
<td>208 ± 8.57a</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>4.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkea africana</td>
<td>397 ± 10.85c</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anogeissus leio-</td>
<td>408 ± 11.12c</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>2.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piliostigma retic-</td>
<td>294 ± 9.03b</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assigned values of the same letter are not statistically different (p > 0.05, Duncan's test).
ANOVA showed a significant difference ($F = 58.87$, $P = 0.0000 < 0.05$) between biovolume within the stands. It is highest in Khaya senegalensis stands ($116.78 \pm 16.57 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) and then decreases progressively in Burkea Africana stands ($65.97 \pm 10.05 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) to Anogeissus leiocarpus stands ($34.87 \pm 7.24 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) and Piliostigma reticulatum stands ($19.68 \pm 2.12 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) (Table 4).

**Ecovolume:** The minimum and maximum values of the ecovolume inside (Khaya senegalensis, Burkea africana, Anogeissus leiocarpus, Piliostigma reticulatum) stands are respectively $90.84-224.8 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$, $22.80-77.52 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$, $13.05-56.69 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$, $8.82-30.54 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$ with coefficients of variation of 14.01%, 24.40%, 20.76%, 10.77% (Table 4). The coefficient of variation of the mean ecovolume within Piliostigma reticulatum stands with (10.77%) is the lowest compared to the others (Table 4). Analysis of variance showed a significant difference ($F = 55.87; P = 0.0000 < 0.05$) for ecovolume within the stands. It is highest in Khaya senegalensis stands ($116.78 \pm 16.57 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) and then decreases progressively in Burkea Africana stands ($65.97 \pm 10.05 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) to Anogeissus leiocarpus stands ($44.08 \pm 5.82 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) and Piliostigma reticulatum stands with ($32.94 \pm 3.03 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) (Table 3).

**Rooots biomass**

Large roots Biomass: The analysis of variance showed a significant difference ($F = 24.88; P = 0.0000 < 0.05$) for the total large roots biomass for depth (0-30 cm) between the four stands studied. At depth (0-10 cm), the highest large root biomass ($37.78 \pm 2.87 \text{ tC/ha}$) was observed in Khaya senegalensis stands ($116.78 \pm 16.57 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) to Anogeissus leiocarpus stands ($44.08 \pm 5.82 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) and Piliostigma reticulatum stands with ($32.94 \pm 3.03 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) (Table 3).
At depth (10–20 cm), the highest medium root biomass (7.80 ± 0.39 tC/ha) was found in *Burkea africana* stands. Variance analysis showed a significant difference \(F = 52.95, P = 0.0000 < 0.05\) for total root mean biomass for depth (10–20 cm) between the four stands studied.

At depth (20–30 cm), the highest medium root biomass (6.87 ± 0.35 tC/ha) was found in *Burkea africana* stands. The analysis of variance showed a significant difference \(F = 54.54; P = 0.047 < 0.05\) for the total medium root biomass for depth (20–30 cm) between the four stands studied (Table 6).

**Fine roots biomass:** The analysis of variance showed a significant difference \(F = 18.88, P = 0.0000 < 0.05\) for the total biomass of fine roots for depth 0–30 cm between the four stands studied. At depth (0–10 cm), the highest fine root biomass (5.78 ± 0.57 tC/ha) was observed in *Khaya senegalensis* stands. Analysis of variance showed a significant difference \(F = 52.95, P = 0.0000 < 0.05\) for total fine root biomass for depth (0–10 cm) between the four stands studied. At depth (10–20 cm), the highest fine root biomass (3.05 ± 0.08 tC/ha) was observed in *Anogeissus leiocarpus* stands. The analysis of variance did not show a significant difference \(F = 2.95, P = 0.054\).

The assigned values of the same letter are not statistically different \((p > 0.05, \text{Duncan’s test})\).
The assigned values of the same letter are not statistically different (p > 0.05, Duncan's test).

Table 8: Soil carbon in the four (04) stands studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stands</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td>10-20 cm</td>
<td>20-30 cm</td>
<td>0-30 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaya senegalensis</td>
<td>55.78 ± 5.87</td>
<td>42.84 ± 4.93</td>
<td>33.54 ± 5.54</td>
<td>132.16 ± 16.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkea africana</td>
<td>42.97 ± 4.35c</td>
<td>33.80 ± 3.14c</td>
<td>24.65 ± 2.51c</td>
<td>101.42 ± 10c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anogeissus leio carpus</td>
<td>37.08 ± 3.12b</td>
<td>28.05 ± 2.8b</td>
<td>19.54 ± 1.73b</td>
<td>84.67 ± 3.12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piliostigma reticulatum</td>
<td>28.94 ± 2.33a</td>
<td>20.82 ± 1.86a</td>
<td>10.70 ± 1.04a</td>
<td>60.46 ± 5.23a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F et P-value</td>
<td>F = 34.86 ; P = 0.000</td>
<td>F = 42.95 ; P = 0.000</td>
<td>F = 56.20 ; P = 0.000</td>
<td>F = 78.88 ; P = 0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Carbon; SCOS: Soil Organic Carbon.

0.054 > 0.05) for total fine root biomass for depth (10-20 cm) between the four stands studied. At depth (20-30 cm), the highest fine root biomass (2.80 ± 0.05 tC/ha) was observed in Khaya senegalensis stands. Analysis of variance showed a significant difference (F = 4.54, P = 0.047 < 0.05) for total fine root biomass for depth (20-30 cm) between the four stands studied (Table 7).

Soil carbon stock: The analysis of variance showed a significant difference (F = 78.88; P = 0.0000 < 0.05) for soil carbon stock (0-30 cm) between the four stands studied. At depth (20-30 cm), the highest soil carbon stock (33.54 ± 5.54 tC/ha) was observed in Khaya senegalensis stands. Analysis of variance showed a significant difference (F = 42.95; P = 0.000 < 0.05) for soil carbon stock for depth (20-30 cm) between the four stands studied. At depth (10-20 cm), the highest soil carbon stock (42.84 ± 4.93 tC/ha) was observed in Khaya senegalensis stands. Analysis of variance showed a significant difference (F = 34.86; P = 0.000 < 0.05) for Soil Carbon Stock for depth (20-30 cm) between the four stands studied. At depth (0-10 cm), the highest soil carbon inventory (55.78 ± 5.87 tC/ha) was observed in Khaya senegalensis stands. Analysis of variance showed a significant difference (F = 34.86; P = 0.000 < 0.05) for Soil Carbon Stock for depth (0-10 cm) between the four stands studied (Table 8).

Ratio between soil carbon stock and stock in large, medium and fine roots: The ratio of soil carbon stocks to carbon in large roots ranges from 49.79 to 79.54%. It is higher in the stands at Piliostigma reticulatum. This indicates that the large roots of Piliostigma reticulatum absorb more than 75.95% of its soil carbon compared to the fine roots to Anogeissus leio carpus, Khaya senegalensis and Burkea africana stands which absorb 10.20% respectively; 8.64% and 7.20% of the carbon in their soil (Table 9). A beating effect thanks to the foliage and the root systems [23]. This result is superior to those Ali, et al. [24] in sacred forests in Southeastern Benin with a value of 103 to 267 individuals per ha. But remains below those Noiha, et al. [25] in cocoa trees (1477 individuals/ha), young cocoa trees (< 10-years-old) (1251 individuals/ha) and old cacao trees (1274 individuals/ha); Noiha, et al. [26] in Gmelina arborea stands (site1 (253 ± 10.23 individuals/ha), sites 2 (182 ± 4.57 individuals/ha) and site 3 (98 ± 2.01 individuals/ha)) ; Noiha, et al. [27] in Eucalyptus stands with young ages, middle ages and old ages with respectively 2054 ± 68.46; 985 ± 30.78; 3370 ± 60.17 individuals/ha; Noiha, et al. [16] in cashew stands of 0-10 years, 10-20 years and + 20 years respectively with 88.29 ± 57.51; 53.354 ± 48.4; 38.64 ± 47.42 individuals/ha. The difference in density of the stands studied with the other authors could be related to the ecological characteristics of the study environments.

Discussion

Vegetation structure

Anogeissus leio carpus stands are the densest (408 ± 11.12 stems/ha). This result would translate into appreciable regeneration within the stands. In fact, the importance of regeneration in the stands studied is that it favors the protection of the land by preventing rainwater from having a beating effect thanks to the foliage and the root systems [23]. This result is superior to those Ali, et al. [24] in sacred forests in Southeastern Benin with a value of 103 to 267 individuals per ha. But remains below those Noiha, et al. [25] in cocoa trees (1477 individuals/ha), young cocoa trees (< 10-years-old) (1251 individuals/ha) and old cacao trees (1274 individuals/ha); Noiha, et al. [26] in Gmelina arborea stands (site1 (253 ± 10.23 individuals/ha), sites 2 (182 ± 4.57 individuals/ha) and site 3 (98 ± 2.01 individuals/ha)) ; Noiha, et al. [27] in Eucalyptus stands with young ages, middle ages and old ages with respectively 2054 ± 68.46; 985 ± 30.78; 3370 ± 60.17 individuals/ha; Noiha, et al. [16] in cashew stands of 0-10 years, 10-20 years and + 20 years respectively with 88.29 ± 57.51; 53.354 ± 48.4; 38.64 ± 47.42 individuals/ha. The difference in density of the stands studied with the other authors could be related to the ecological characteristics of the study environments.
including soil types, topography, climate, and cover.

The higher value of basal area observed in Khaya senegalensis stands (11.56 ± 0.57 m²/ha). The highest basal area in Khaya senegalensis stands indicated the large tree specimens. Among the species of its undergrowth, some have a high shade rate. This may explain the high proportion of species that can provide shade in any season [28]. These results are not close to those Savadogo, et al. [29]; Noiha, et al. [16]; Noiha, et al. [27] and Noiha, et al. [26].

The highest biovolume were recorded in Khaya senegalensis stands (116.78 ± 16.57 m³/ha). This great value of the biovolume could be explained by the absence of human activities in this stand. And also by the protection and conservation of trees by farmers. The low values of biovolumes (> 50 m³/ha) in the other stands would be justified by their low wood potential. These results are lower than the 12047 m³/ha values found by Janssens, et al. [30] in the cashew plantations of the Ouémé basin in Germany; values 401; 372; 309; 290 and 205 m³/ha found by Dupuy, et al. [31] in plantations at Tectona grandis in Ivory Coast. On the other hand, the lowest values of the biovolume are observed in the pastures, this is the result of a strong human and animal pressure whose effect is remarkable both on the physical environment and on the floristic procession. Khaya senegalensis is the species best represented in terms of biovolume. This is explained by the fact that this species is not subject to any anthropogenic pressure which could lead to the almost complete destruction of airborne organisms and the attenuation of differences in sizes between individuals. The highest vegetation ecovolume was recorded in Khaya senegalensis stands (157.82 ± 22.12 m³/ha). These results are lower than the 63333 m³/ha value found by Janssens, et al. [30] in the cashew plantations of the Ouémé basin in Germany. According to Mulindabigwi & Janssens, et al. [32]. These large values of the eco-volume would justify keeping them away from several degradation activities, hence their high value.

### Root biomass

The highest large roots biomass was observed in Khaya senegalensis stands. This is explained by these great rooting abilities and the type of soil. The first depth 0-10 cm of the soil contains more than 90% of the roots. These depths correspond to those from which these soils contain a negligible amount of fat. This leads us to conclude that large root biomasses have many advantages in nutrient and carbon accumulation as shown in previous studies [33,34]. Large roots, greater than 5 mm in diameter to Khaya senegalensis stands, have very high spatial variability compared to other stands. The finest roots (d < 1 mm) make up 24 to 35% of the total root mass in the 10-20 cm layer. In the most superficial layer (0-10 cm), they are even more abundant and represent 38 to 58% of the total. These results diverge from those of Dahlman & Kucera [35], which base their estimates on the differences in root weights at the beginning and end of the peak root growth period. These results are far inferior to those obtained by Guo, et al. [36]. These results are particularly observed in conditions of high seasonal water stress [37-41]. Total fine root biomass (0-30 cm) ranged from 2.80 ± 0.05 to 11.42 ± 0.67 tC/ha in Khaya senegalensis stands, 1.54 ± 0.01 to 7, 31 ± 0.1 tC/ha in Burkea Africana stands, from 1.50 ± 0.03 to 8.64 ± 0.23 tC/ha in Anogeissus leiocarpus stands and 2.70 ± 0.04 at 8.44 ± 0.11 tC/ha in Piliostigma reticulatum stands. These results obtained in this work; do not corroborate the work of many in the literature [42,43]. The fine roots biomass in stands studied is greater in depths 0-20 cm. On the other hand, in depths greater than 20 cm, biomass values of fine roots are noted. These low values may be explained in part by the installation of native soil cores in shallow horizons, at a maximum depth of 20 cm below the soil surface, where few roots have developed.

### Soil carbon stock

Soil carbon stocks obtained through the present research varying between 60.46 ± 5.23 and 132.16 ± 16.34 tC/ha do not corroborate those Ananthi Selvaraj, et al. [44]; Mouwembe, et al. [22]; Noiha, et al. [16]; Noiha, et al. [27]; Noiha, et al. [26]; Awé, et al. [45]; Kooke, et al. [46]. These values vary according to the type of soil and the climatic conditions. On the other hand, our results are superior to those estimated by IPCC [47] which is 31 tC/ha for the tropical dry zones and those obtained by Palm, et al. [48] in an agroforestry system based on cocoa at 42 tC/ha. Also these results are not contained in the range 12.2-22.3 ton C/ha obtained by Manlay, et al. [49] in Senegal and intervals of 4.3-21.3 ton C/ha obtained by Tschakert [50] in Senegal. The low values of soil carbon stock in Piliostigma reticulatum stands can be explained by the average quality of the physical properties of the soil in our study environment. The main factors of variation of soil carbon stocks in savannas agroforestry are the climate, the dominant species, in relation to the type of humus, and the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of soils (clay content and soil depth) [51-53]. Soil carbon stock in Khaya senegalensis stands (132.16 ± 16.34 tC/ha) is higher to Burkea Africana, Anogeissus leiocarpus and Piliostigma reticulatum stands respectively with 101.42 ± 10 tC/ha; 84.67 ± 3.12 tC/ha and 60.46 ± 5.23 tC/ha. This gap could be explained mainly by the different textures and biochemical compositions of soils, anthropogenic factors (bush fires, logging, and slash and burn cultivation) and biophysical factors (erosion, stripping of surface layers). Mechanical clearing and oxidation of organic matter) which destroy and reduce the organic restitution of the medium to the soil. Indeed, the carbon storage dynamics in soils of savannah stands depend on changes in land use (deforestation, afforestation, etc.), climate and some silvicultural practices increasing the mineralizing activity of soil microorganisms (plowing, drainage, fertilization). According to Albrecht and Kandji [54], the carbon storage capacity an agro ecosystems varies between 12 and 228 tC/ha with an average value of 95 tC/ha. The values obtained during this search are within this range. The variation in carbon stock in the different plantations would therefore be due to the variation in planting density. The amount of carbon sequestered by agro ecosystem depends on the species set up, their density, the structure and function of the latter. Montag-
nini and Nair [55] state that the amount of sequestered carbon is a function of tree species, geographical regions (climate, soil), planting densities and system management. The results obtained are, on the other hand, greater than the interval of 60 and 90 tC/ha for an agro forest proposed by Palm, et al. [48]. On the other hand, this value is much higher than that found by Volkoff, et al. [56] who found that the carbon stock in tropical ferruginous soils in Benin was 32 tC/ha with a coefficient of variation of 40% for a depth of 0-50 cm [57]. The consequence of this situation is the rapid mineralization of organic matter in the Suda-
no-Saharan zone and a decrease in the stock of organic matter in the soil. The relatively low levels of soil carbon stock can be explained by the average quality of the phys-
cal properties of the soil in our study environment. It is a ferruginous ground concretion (41.32% of concretion on average).

These soils are subject to intensive cultivation practic-
es with a very short fallow period observation. It should be noted that agricultural practices in the fire-use area for plots cleaning can also contribute to the destruction of organic matter through intensification of mineralization. It is neces-
sary that the services in charge of rural development sensi-
tize the neighboring populations for a better management of these agroecosystems in the perspective of the reduction of greenhouse gases. The results show the important role of roots as a source of organic matter in the soils of the different stands studied. They lead to take the greatest account of the biological activity of the very superficial horizons, in the study of the operation and the development of these ecosystems. The average soil carbon stock in Khaya senegalis stands with 132.16 ± 16.34 tC/ha, which is lower than the stocks advanced by Eglin [58] (153 tC/ha) but is very close to the value 136 tC/ha obtained by Lecointe, et al. [59] and at 130 tC/ha obtained by Mohamed Boulmane, et al. [60]. On the other hand, the values of its authors remain higher than our values obtained in Burkea Africana (101.42 ± 10 tC/ha); Anogeis-
sus leiocarpus (84.67 ± 3.12 tC/ha) and Pilostigma reticula-
tum (60.46 ± 5.23 tC/ha). Studies by several authors [61,62]
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