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**Abstract**

The Amazonian wild tree species *Duroia Macrophylla* (Rubiaceae) produces triterpenes and alkaloids, some of them showed antituberculosis and antitumoral activities. This paper describes the in vitro establishment and callus induction of *Duroia Macrophylla* leaf explants, preparation of callus extracts and the chemical analysis to verify the presence of alkaloids and terpenes in it. Young leaf explants were established in vitro with 50% of asepsis, being the best disinfection method with immersion of 5 h at biocide solution (fungicidal and bactericidal), 3 min in 70% ethanol and 15 min in sodium hypochlorite 2%. Once established, the explants in vitro were induced to callus formation process by adding the growth regulators kinetin and 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, on Murashige and Skoog medium. In vitro callus were extracted with hexane and methanol and the presence of secondary metabolites was assessed by thin layer chromatography and 1H-NMR analysis. Preliminary chemical analysis suggests that both callus extract of *D. macrophylla* did not contain alkaloids, but terpenes. Thus, more studies are needed to induce the production of alkaloids in *D. macrophylla* callus, where the use of elicitors, or other methods, may induce the production of these metabolites in callus, as alkaloids and terpenes showed antituberculosis and antitumoral activities, what are potential of (social and economics) uses with bioprospection activities. This is the first report of *D. macrophylla* callus induction and the chemical prospection of its extracts.
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**Introduction**

The plants are one of the most important sources of organic substances, with a variety of chemical classes that arouse scientific interest because of their biological and chemical properties. In the search for new drugs, plants have been an important source for new bioactive substances [1].

In the Amazon, several plants with medicinal potential have been found and studied. Among them is the *Duroia Macrophylla* Huber species, Rubiaceae family. Previous studies showed the presence of an indole alkaloid obtained from the leaves, which showed antitumor activity [2]. Also eight indole alkaloids and two triterpenes were isolated from dichloromethane and methanol extracts of leaves and branches, which have demonstrated activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis [3,4].

However, several factors may compromise the use of plants for pharmaceutical purposes like, for example, the heterogeneity of individuals, due to the existing genetic and biochemical variabilities [5] and exposure to different environmental interactions. In the case of *D. macrophylla*, substances of interest are produced in small quantities and they cannot be found easily in different individuals (pers. commun.).

According to Fumagali, et al. [6], the in vitro production of metabolites by tissue culture may offer advantages compared to in vivo production: plant tissue cultures in vitro can simulate the ideal environment for the production of metabolites for each type of species, obtaining constant production of metabolites in tissues and in plants grown in vitro, without interference from interactions between environmental and seasonal factors with the plant.

The culture of cells and tissues in vitro is an area of research that is growing exponentially, because the benefits of the technique are very promising as the constant and large-scale production of metabolites of interest [6], and also promotes the sustainability of plant resources.

As the plant *D. macrophylla* produces the active metabolites in small quantities and not all the extracts obtained from different plant
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cultured on Murashige and Skoog (MS) (1962) [9], after 30 days. Duroia macrophylla Survival percentage of young leaves explants of

**Table 3:** Disinfection treatments of *Duroia macrophylla* leaf segments.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Treatment name</th>
<th>Immersion time (min)*</th>
<th>70% Ethanol</th>
<th>2% Naclo</th>
<th>4% Caclo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>DMU 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>DMU 02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>DMU 03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>DMU 04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>DMU 05</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>DMU 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 05</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 07</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult leaves</td>
<td>DMA 14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMJ: Disinfection treatment of *D. macrophylla* young leaves; DMA: Disinfection treatment of *D. macrophylla* adult leaves. *Between each dip disinfectant chemical agent explants were immersed in sterile distilled water three times.

**Table 3:** Survival percentage of young leaves explants of *Duroia macrophylla* (aseptic and contaminated) after different treatments of disinfection and cultured on Murashige and Skoog (MS) (1962) [9], after 30 days.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Pre-disinfection</th>
<th>Disinfection</th>
<th>Alive (%)</th>
<th>Contamination (%)</th>
<th>Survivors By bacteria</th>
<th>Aseptic survivors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 01</td>
<td>DMU 02</td>
<td>90 ab'</td>
<td>80 a</td>
<td>5 a</td>
<td>5 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 02</td>
<td>DMU 03</td>
<td>80 ab</td>
<td>70 ab</td>
<td>5 a</td>
<td>5 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 04</td>
<td>DMU 04</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 05</td>
<td>DMU 05</td>
<td>66.6 bc</td>
<td>13.3 cd</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>53.3 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 06</td>
<td>DMU 06</td>
<td>66.6 bc</td>
<td>26.6 cd</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 03</td>
<td>DMU 02</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDU 04</td>
<td>DMU 03</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPDU 01</td>
<td>DMU 04</td>
<td>50 c</td>
<td>45 bc</td>
<td>5 a</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPDU 02</td>
<td>DMU 05</td>
<td>15 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>5 a</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPDU 03</td>
<td>DMU 02</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TPDU: Pre-disinfection treatment of *D. macrophylla* young leaves; DMU: Disinfection treatment of *D. macrophylla* young leaves. *Each variable the means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly by Tukey test (p < 0.05). The callus obtained in *in vitro* process were united and dried in a lyophilizer. The lyophilized callus (macerated with pestle) were subjected to extraction with hexane, using ultrasound for 20 minutes (Unique, Ulta Cleaner model, Brazil), filtered, and this process repeated twice more. The callus cake was dried in flow hood and then extracted with methanol using ultrasound for 20 minutes, filtered and the process repeated twice more. The extracts were concentrated in rotary evaporator (Fisatom, model 350, Brazil) and were analyzed by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) (Marcherey, Nagel, MN and Merck, Germany) and 1H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) at 300 MHz (Bruker, model Fourier-300, Germany) for analysis of metabolites present.

**Material and Methods**

**Plant material**

*D. macrophylla* leaves were collected from two individuals located in the "Reserva A. Dukce", 26 km NE of Manaus, AM-010 highway. Leaf explants were chosen because they have meristematic tissues in the conducting vessels, providing greater capacity to express totipotency [7], and are not lignified, being more appropriate explants for calli culture [8]. Samples were collected in the period between March 2013 and April 2014. The plant materials of the two individuals were identified and voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of the National Institute of Amazonian Research - INPA (herbarium number: 249228 and 261554).

**In vitro establishment**

Young and adult leaves of *D. macrophylla* were collected and small fragments of approximately 1.0 x 0.5 cm were taken away from central areas of the leaves, containing primary or secondary veins. Various explants pre-disinfection procedures were made, first of all they were washed in distilled water and then immersed in 70% ethanol. Then, the explants were immersed in a solution containing biocidal (2 g L-1 Mancobee® and 100 mg L-1 streptomycin) in different times (Table 1). After the pre-disinfection treatments, the explants were exposed to disinfection treatment with the combination of the disinfectants agents: 70% ethanol, 2% hypochlorite sodium and 4% calcium hypochlorite (Table 2), in biological safety cabinet (Esco, model Labculture Class II A2, Singapore). Between 15 and 20 replicates were utilized on each treatment, with an explant in each test tube (plot). Between each immersion on different disinfectants agents and at the end of the disinfection process, explants were subjected to three washes with sterile distilled water, and then inoculated in Murashige and Skoog (MS) (1962) [9] culture medium supplemented with 30 g L-1 sucrose and 7 g L-1 agar.

Inoculated explants were incubated in a growth chamber at 28 ± 2 °C, relative humidity of about 60% in diffuse light (shading) intensity of 50 µmol m-2 s-1 and 16 h photoperiod for 30 days. The different disinfection treatments were evaluated considering the percentage of alive explants and contamination (fungi and/or bacteria). It was utilized analysis of variance with Tukey’s test at the 5% level of probability, for statistical analysis.

**Callus induction**

The explants that remained alive and aseptic after the disinfection treatments, were transferred to the MS medium added 30 g L-1 sucrose, 7 g L-1 agar, 4 mg L-1 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic (2,4-D) and 2 mg L-1 kinetin (KIN) to the callus induction process. The incubation environment was kept equal to the growth chamber after disinfection.

**Preparation and analysis of callus extracts**

The callus obtained in *in vitro* process were united and dried in a lyophilizer. The lyophilized callus (macerated with pestle) were subjected to extraction with hexane, using ultrasound for 20 minutes (Unique, Ulta Cleaner model, Brazil), filtered, and this process repeated twice more. The callus cake was dried in flow hood and then extracted with methanol using ultrasound for 20 minutes, filtered and the process repeated twice more. The extracts were concentrated in rotary evaporator (Fisatom, model 350, Brazil) and were analyzed by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) (Marcherey, Nagel, MN and Merck, Germany) and 1H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) at 300 MHz (Bruker, model Fourier-300, Germany) for analysis of metabolites present.
Results

In vitro establishment

Alive aseptic explants were only achieved when young leaves were used. The best results were found with the pre-disinfestation treatment 02 of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (TPDJ 02) and the disinfestation treatments 05 and 06 of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (DMJ 05 and DMJ 06) (Table 3).

Callus induction

Alive and aseptic explants begin to exhibit the callus formation at the end of leaf segments, after two weeks of inoculated in MS medium with 4 mg L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D and 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) of KIN. The transformation of the leaf segments tissues in callus was complete after five weeks, presenting multiform aspect, where, in the same batch, there were evidenced some friable, other compact and even callus with chlorophyll (Figure 1).

Calli extracts

The calli were multiplicated to increase the total weight of the plant material, for chemical extraction. The calli formed on DMJ 02 treatments (TPDJ 01) and DMJ 03 (TPDJ 01) were subcultured five times, and then lyophilized, weighed and named DMC 01 (2.52 g) and DMC 02 (2.38 g), respectively. The calli formed on DMJ 05 treatments (TPDJ 02) and DMJ 06 (TPDJ 02) were subcultured twice, then lyophilized, weighed and named DMC 03 (1.50 g) and DMC 04 (1.88 g), respectively.

The calli were extracted with hexane and methanol, yielding eight extracts at first. During drying process on rotary evaporator all methanolic extracts showed a precipitate, which was separated from the supernatant. The masses and yields of the extracts obtained from \textit{D. macrophylla} callus are shown in Table 4.

![Figure 1](image1.png) Photo of a \textit{Duroia macrophylla} multiform callus, after two months in vitro culture: A - callus with chlorophyll; B - friable callus; C - compact callus (This figure is in color in the electronic version).

**Figure 1:** Photo of a \textit{Duroia macrophylla} multiform callus, after two months in vitro culture: A - callus with chlorophyll; B - friable callus; C - compact callus (This figure is in color in the electronic version).

**Table 4:** Masses and yields of calli extracts from \textit{Duroia macrophylla} young leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Callus</th>
<th>Dry material (g)</th>
<th>Hexane extract</th>
<th>Methanolic extract supernatant</th>
<th>Methanolic extract precipitate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M (mg)</td>
<td>Y (%)</td>
<td>M (mg)</td>
<td>Y (%)</td>
<td>M (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC 01</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>635.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC 02</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>661.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC 03</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>706.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC 04</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>769.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Mass; Y: Yield; DMC: \textit{D. macrophylla} callus. DMC 01: Callus formed from pre-disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (TPDJ) 01 and disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (DMJ) 02; DMC 02: Callus formed from pre-disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (TPDJ) 01 and disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (DMJ) 03; DMC 03: Callus formed from pre-disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (TPDJ) 02 and disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (DMJ) 05; DMC 04: Callus formed from pre-disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (TPDJ) 02 and disinfestation treatment of \textit{D. macrophylla} young leaves (DMJ) 06.

In the Thin Layer Chromatographic (TLC) analysis, the system hexane/ethyl acetate (EtOAc) 9:1 showed to be the best to split the spots for the hexane extracts, while pure methanol showed to be the best elution system for methanolic extracts.

The revelation of the plates in ultraviolet light at 254 nm did not show spots in any of the extracts analyzed, and in 365 nm showed blue fluorescent spots, suggesting the presence of substances with chromophores. There was no evidence of the presence of alkaloids in any hexane and methanolic extracts of callus \textit{D. macrophylla} when revealed with Dragendorff reagent. Plates stained with ceric sulphate showed the possible presence of terpenes in all extracts, where purple colored spots were observed after heating (Rf of methanolic extract spots were ≅ 0.75 / Rf of hexane extract spots were ≅ 0.14; 0.51; 0.75).

The 1H-NMR analysis of \textit{D. macrophylla} callus extracts (Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4) did not indicate the presence of...
Figure 2: 1H-NMR spectra of four hexanic extracts of *Duroia macrophylla* calli cultured *in vitro* (300 MHz, CDCl₃, adjusted signals with the Fourier transform and Phase-ACD/Labs Software). (This figure is in color in the electronic version).

Figure 3: 1H-NMR spectra of the precipitates obtained from four methanolic extracts of *Duroia macrophylla* calli cultured *in vitro* (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, adjusted signals with the Fourier transform and Phase-ACD/Labs Software). (This figure is in color in the electronic version).
efficiency. However, _Duroia Macrophylla_ is wild (living in natural habitat in the Amazon forest), where treatment with biocide solution becomes unviable. Therefore, it was decided to directly treat the explants with prolonged biocide solution immersion, which contains Mancozeb as fungicide in its composition.

Several studies have used immersion of explants in fungicidal agents to obtain aseptic cultures: Andrade, et al. (2005) [13] obtained better results in disinfestation of _Mangifera indica_ explants with 30 minutes of immersion in fungicide; Naranjo, et al. (2014) [14] also used in the pre-disinfestation the immersion of _Psychotria ipecacuanha_ (Rubiaceae) leaf explants in fungicide for 2 hours; Medina and Casas (2014) [15], in the disinfestation process of _Thymus moroderi_ (Lamiaceae) used immersion in fungicide for 15 minutes; Regalado, et al. (2015) [16] obtained good results in the disinfestation of _Asparagus brachyphyllus_ and _Asparagus pseudoscaber_ (Asparagaceae) rhizomes using immersion in the fungicide (Benomyl) for 20 minutes.

The best disinfestation treatments, among the best pre-disinfestation group (TPD02), were those who had greater exposure time to ethanol 70%, they being DMJ05 and DMJ06 treatments. It is known that 70% ethanol has germicidal characteristics with greater action in fungi, in addition to their surfactant action. Its initial application facilitates the action of sodium hypochlorite, which has mainly effect on bacteria [7,17].

The plant tissue time exposure in ethanol is usually of a few tens of seconds, but according to the consistency of the tissue, it can reach to few minutes. _D. macrophylla_ leaves, because they are leathery and have a thick epidermis cuticle layer, provides greater difficulty for the contact of pest control agents with their plant tissues. According to Grattapaglia and Machado (1998) [7], when the explant is protected by other tissue layers, greater concentrations and longer exposure

**Discussion**

**Tissue culture**

The difference between the contamination results of adult and young leaf explants probably was due to the time of natural exposure of adult leaves to environmental and microorganisms interactions in their habitat, which is larger than of young leaves. The largest amount of contamination in mature leaves has been observed in studies with endophytic microorganisms of plants: Pimentel, et al. (2006) [10], in research with fungi of _Ilex paraguariensis_, found that the amount of endophytic fungi in adult leaves is higher than in young leaves; Oki, et al. (2009) [11] also observed that the wealth of endophytic in _Baccharis dracunculifolia_ (Asteraceae) increases as the age of the leaves is higher.

Among the treatments with young leaves explants, the fungicide agent was the main factor for the better performance of the pre-disinfection process. The optimum time for the fungicidal action on this study was approximately 5 hours. Times longer than 8 hours showed greater asepsis, however dead tissues. Shorter times than 4 hours caused contamination by fungi in all assays.

According to Esposito-Polesi (2011) [12], one way to overcome the problem of contamination in explants is the application of fungicides and antibiotics, which can act on explant surface contamination. Fungicides are usually quite toxic, and their use should be controlled to do not cause damage to the plant tissues. The ideal use of fungicides is applying directly on the mother plant, where the correct application of fungicide is of utmost importance to the greater disinfection

![Figure 4: 1H-NMR spectra of four methanolic extracts of _Duroia macrophylla_ calli cultured in vitro (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, adjusted signals with the Fourier transform and Phase-ACD/Labs Software). (This figure is in color in the electronic version).](image-url)
times of disinfection agents may be used. Despite the positive results, it is still necessary to perform more disinfection assays to establish the species in vitro, since the best results were of 50% of the aseptic explants. According to Golle, et al. (2013) [18], one of the main difficulties of in vitro micro propagation, besides the rooting, is to obtain a sufficient number of aseptic cultures. This often occurs due to the presence of microorganisms associated with the explants and the relative inefficiency of disinfection procedures of woody species, which are normally successfully employed in herbaceous.

**Callus induction**

Callus formed in *D. macrophylla* explants presents varied types (multiform), but all are considered undifferentiated cells mass. Werner, et al. (2009) [19] also showed multicell callus from *Caesalpinia echinata* (Fabaceae) species. According to Termignoni (2010) [20], it is possible to observe variations in the callus tissues distribution pattern, where the division plans and directions taken by growing cells (dependent on endogenous nutritional and hormonal gradients) are going to define the histological patterns in callus tissues.

To obtain callus with uniform cells, the balance of cytokinin and auxin in the medium should be altered. Pereira, et al. (2007) [21], in a study with in vitro *Uncaria guianensis* species (Rubiaceae), obtained callus with different colors and consistencies depending on the auxins present in the medium; Almeida, et al. (2010) [22] found better development and visual aspect of *Mussaenda erythrophylla* (Rubiaceae) in vitro callus with the presence of auxin NAA (naphthalene-1-acetic acid) in the medium.

In this paper, the medium for the callus formation process has been selected based on previous studies of the research group with other amazonian woody species and also from literature studies with *Coffeea arabica* (Rubiaceae), where larger percentages of leaf explants callus were obtained by using 4.18 mg L-1 of 2,4-D (auxin) combined with 2 mg L-1 of kinetin (cytokinin), in a ratio of 2:1 [23].

**Calli extracts**

The analyzes realized with the extracts obtained from *D. macrophylla* calli indicated that they did not produce the alkaloids obtained from in vivo leaves and branches extracts in a previous study [24]; since it was not observed any spot on the TLC assays of the samples stained with Dragendorff reagent, and also no signal between 8 and 10 ppm was observed in the 1H NMR analysis, where the signals of the hydrogens attached to the nitrogen atoms of the indole alkaloids previously isolated from the plant can be found [24].

The metabolites productions by in vitro tissue culture do not have always the same proportions as the in vivo production, even searching for the best in vitro plant conditions. The production of certain substances can be reduced or even absent in in vitro cultures as compared with the in vivo production. Many factors can influence in the production of metabolites, for example, the culture conditions or the type of the culture tissues (calli, seedlings, embryos). In the undifferentiated cell culture the production of specific metabolites can be compromised by the absence of some enzymes that normally would be produced in the vegetal development phase [25]. In these cases, the production of these substances can be induced by the introduction of metabolic precursors in the medium. According to Moreno, et al. (1995), for alkaloids, the utilization of secoiridoids precursors in the medium can be efficient. In studies with *Caitharanthus roseus*, Moreno, et al. [26] showed remarkable difference in alkaloids production in vitro through the use of secoiridoids in the medium.

The presence of terpenes in *D. macrophylla* calli extracts can be inferred from the TLC revelation of the samples with ceric sulphate and the presence of signals with chemical shifts between δH 0.7 and 1.2 in the 1H-NMR spectra. These signals can be an indication that *D. macrophylla* callus are producing the same terpenes, or similar ones, that the in vivo plant, already isolated by Martins, et al. (2013,2014) [3,4]. However, larger amount of extracts are required to conduct chemical fractionation and then identify the chemical structure of the substances.

**Conclusions**

Produce technical processes with arboreal species poorly studied and little literature such as *Duroia Macrophylla* becomes a challenge for Science & Technology. The production of triterpenes and alkaloids with activity on Mycobacterium tuberculosis and an alkaldoid with antitumoral activity are some of the attractions of *Duroia Macrophylla* as potential drugs. The emphasis on production of calli is the search/attempt to obtain mass of the active ingredient for a new product; a new source of active metabolites and thus obtain new raw materials from large quantities that the plant produces for subsequent large-scale production to purposes of drugs derived from the Amazonian Brazilian biodiversity. The in vitro establishment of *Duroia macrophylla* callus from young leaf explants was possible with the combination of a pre-disinfection with immersion on biocide solution (fungicide and bactericide) for 5 hours and disinfection with the combination of 70% ethanol and NaClO 2%, reaching 50% of efficiency. It needs adapt the disinfection protocol for a higher yield of aseptic explants. The in vitro callus induction of *Duroia Macrophylla* leaf explants is possible from the combination of 2,4-D and KIN, forming multicell callus. *D. macrophylla* callus, under this condition, produces terpenes, but do not produce alkaloids. Some substances found in plant extracts were not found in the calli extracts. Thus, further studies are needed to induce the production of alkaloids in *D. macrophylla* callus, where the use of elicitors, or other methods, such as the changing medium composition, may induce the production of these metabolites in the callus. This study was based on plant biotechnology, is the first one for *Duroia Macrophylla* Huber, values the Amazonian biodiversity and develops techniques to a tree with still unknown spatial distribution.

Terpenes have the potential to become raw material for antituberculosis drugs, from the Amazonian tree species *D. macrophylla*. That is, more broadly, the Brazilian plant biodiversity can be the matrix for the establishment of bases that can be aggregated and aggregators of Science & Technology and with specific use values. That is, more broadly, the Brazilian plant biodiversity becomes a challenge for Science & Technology. The production of triterpenes and alkaloids with activity on Mycobacterium tuberculosis and an alkaldoid with antitumoral activity are some of the attractions of *Duroia Macrophylla* as potential drugs. The emphasis on production of calli is the search/attempt to obtain mass of the active ingredient for a new product; a new source of active metabolites and thus obtain new raw materials from large quantities that the plant produces for subsequent large-scale production to purposes of drugs derived from the Amazonian Brazilian biodiversity. The in vitro establishment of *Duroia macrophylla* callus from young leaf explants was possible with the combination of a pre-disinfection with immersion on biocide solution (fungicide and bactericide) for 5 hours and disinfection with the combination of 70% ethanol and NaClO 2%, reaching 50% of efficiency. It needs adapt the disinfection protocol for a higher yield of aseptic explants. The in vitro callus induction of *Duroia Macrophylla* leaf explants is possible from the combination of 2,4-D and KIN, forming multicell callus. *D. macrophylla* callus, under this condition, produces terpenes, but do not produce alkaloids. Some substances found in plant extracts were not found in the calli extracts. Thus, further studies are needed to induce the production of alkaloids in *D. macrophylla* callus, where the use of elicitors, or other methods, such as the changing medium composition, may induce the production of these metabolites in the callus. This study was based on plant biotechnology, is the first one for *Duroia Macrophylla* Huber, values the Amazonian biodiversity and develops techniques to a tree with still unknown spatial distribution.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors are grateful to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico: CT-Agro/CNPq, PPBio/CNPq, REPENSA/CNPq/FAPEAM, CT-Amazônia/CNPq, INCT-CENRAM/CNPq, to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior: Pro-Amazônia/CAPES and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM, for financial support. The SSZ author thanks CNpq and PPG-Botany/INPA for granted scholarship and financial support.

**References**


