

### Insights of Agricultural Technologies

Original Article DOI: 10.36959/339/359

# Insight of Environmental Microplastics Responsive to Agricultural Ecosystem

Yixuan Cao<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuanyuan Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Ziqian Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Yunmu Xiao<sup>1,2</sup>, Yong Li<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Ting Liu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xuyuan Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Taimoor Hassan Faroog<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> and Wende Yan<sup>1,2,3</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Life Science and Technology, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha, Hunan, China <sup>2</sup>National Engineering Laboratory for Applied Technology of Forestry & Ecology in South China, Changsha, Hunan, China <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Urban Forest Ecology of Hunan Province, Changsha, Hunan, China

#### **Abstract**

With the investigation of Microplastics' distribution in the environment, and the experiment of biotoxicity exposure, the pollution of microplastics has become one of the hot environmental issues. A large number of studies have shown that microplastics have a great impact on the terrestrial ecosystem. In this paper, the source of microplastics in soil environment and agricultural system, and the reaction of microplastics pollution to plants are reviewed in combination with the research papers published in recent years.

#### Introduction

Plastics are widely used in modern society because of their durability, cheapness, and ease of production. Under the action of physical erosion, biodegradation, or photocatalytic oxidation, the plastics entering the environment can be degraded into microplastics, with a diameter of < 5 mm, [1]. Microplastics refer to the plastic fibers, particles, or films in the environment. The main components include polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polylactic acid (PA), and polyethylene terephthalate (PET), etc., which are all over the ocean, land, and atmosphere, thus, it is a serious environmental pollution problem derived from "white pollution" [2]. It is reported that global annual plastic production has far exceeded 300 million tons [3], among which nearly 80% of plastic products are released into landfills or the natural environment without effective treatment, causing serious environmental pollution problems [4].

#### Source of Microplastics

Since the 1950s, all kinds of plastic products have been produced continuously, and the output of plastic shows an exponential growth trend. In 2015, the global output was 322 million tons, and the total output is expected to reach about 600 million tons by 2025 [5]. Microplastics can come from both terrestrial and marine sources, and land-based microplastics waste accounts for about 80 percent of the total plastic waste in marine ecosystems [6]. It is reported that the global production of microplastics reaches  $3 \times 109$  tons per year [7], and it is expected that by 2050, 1.20  $\times$  1011 tons

of microplastics waste will accumulate in landfills or natural environments, causing serious plastic pollution around the world [4].

# Research on Microplastics in Soil Environment and Agricultural Systems

Compared with the aquatic ecosystem, few pieces of research available on microplastics in the soil ecosystem [8-10]. Soil is the basis of material exchange and is closely related to human life. It has been reported that agricultural soils may even store more microplastics than the oceans due to the recycling of organic waste containing microplastics and the extensive use of plastic film [9,11]. Microplastics enter agricultural soils mainly through aerial deposition, landfills, irrigation water contaminated with microplastics, residues of plastic mulch used in agricultural or horticultural products, and sewage sludge deposition [12]. After entering the soil,

\*Corresponding author: Yong Li and Taimoor Hassan Farooq, Faculty of Life Science and Technology, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha; National Engineering Laboratory for Applied Technology of Forestry & Ecology in South China, Changsha; Laboratory of Urban Forest Ecology of Hunan Province, Changsha, Hunan, 410004, China

Accepted: November 17, 2021

Published online: November 19, 2021

**Citation:** Cao Y, Hou Y, Li Z, et al. (2021) Insight of Environmental Microplastics Responsive to Agricultural Ecosystem. Insights Agric Technol 3(1):25-27

**Copyright:** © 2021 Cao Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



microplastics can change the soil's physical and chemical properties, and then have adverse effects on soil biology [13,14]. A study estimated the maximum microplastic load in agricultural ecosystems in Europe, North America, and Australia. It found that the microplastic load from organic waste recycling alone was as high as  $2.8^{\circ}63\,t/hm^2$  [12]. Studies have shown that microplastics in agricultural ecosystems can reduce soil microbial biomass and reduce soil microbial activity and functional diversity and even further affect the cycling process of plant nutrients in soil [9,15], which may indirectly affect plant seed germination and seedling growth.

### The Response of Plants to Microplastics Pollution

#### Uptake of micro- and nano-plastics by plants

Due to anatomical and physiological variations, there are differences in the uptake, transport, and accumulation of plants' pollutants. It is known that nano-plastics affect plant root properties (volume, density, surface area), xylem properties (volume, surface area), transpiration, growth rate, water, and lipid composition, plasma membrane potential, vacuolar membrane potential, cytoplasmic pH and vacuolar pH [12]. There are few studies on the transport and accumulation of small size microplastics (nano-plastics) in plants. However, the use of fullerene C70 in rice [16] and carbon nanotubes in soybeans, corn, rice, and Arabidopsis arabidopsis have demonstrated engineered transfer carbon nanoparticles in the 40-70 nm range across stems and/ or leaves [17]. Because of their smaller size compared to microplastics, nanoplastics have been shown actually to enter plant cells. Bandmann, et al. demonstrated that 20 and 40 nm polystyrene beads were endocytized by tobacco BY-2 cells in cell culture [18]. Previous studies of Chinese scholars have shown that lettuce can absorb and accumulate 0.2 µm polystyrene microplastic under hydroponics conditions and transport them to the stems and leaves [19]. It is also shown that submicron microplastics and even micron microplastics (2 μm) can enter the plant body through the gap formed during the growth of lateral plant roots [20].

# Toxicity, stress, and response of plants to microplastics

Yuan, et al. has reported that ground-based plants are the basis of many food chains, so the accumulation of nanoplastics in plants may affect other nutrient levels, which may pose potential risks to food production, quality and safety. Microplastics in the soil can bring potential health risks through the food chain and enrichment [21] and affect the growth and development of crops. Different types and concentrations of microplastics will influence the growth of crops. Referring to wheat, when the microplastic concentration was lower than 500 mg • L-1, ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer, linear low-density polyethylene, and methyl methacrylate inhibited the germination of wheat [22-24]. Studies of other land plants have shown that the 0.1 µm polystyrene microplastics under hydroponics conditions could be absorbed and accumulated by the root system of broad bean and interfere with the transport of nutrients

and produce genotoxicity [10]. Other studies have shown that microplastics can block the pores of hemlock seeds and inhibit water absorption, thereby delaying germination and root growth [25]. Microplastics of different sizes have different effects on plants. The toxicity of microplastics with small particle size was stronger.

Microplastics at 100 nm (100 mg $\,^{\circ}$ L $^{-1}$ ) had an inhibitory effect on the growth of vicia faba. The 100 nm polystyrene (PS) microplastics can block the pores in the cell wall and intercellular connections, affecting nutrient transport. Simultaneously, it was found that microplastics at 100 nm had stronger genotoxicity than those at 5  $\mu$ m [26]. By studying the effects of microplastics on Arabidopsis thaliana, Sun, et al. also found that there are signs that the nanoplastics are preventing the normal growth of plants and damaging the development of seedlings. In worst case, the team believes that the plastics are altering the genetic makeup, and that the RNA sequences they obtained from the plants suggest that the nanoplastics may be damaging the plants' ability to resist disease [27].

### Conclusions, Knowledge Gaps and Future Area of Research

Plants are the outset of ecosystem production and bioaccumulation. The absorption and transfer of microplastics by plants is the key for microplastics to enter the food chain through plants. A few studies have shown that microplastics can enter plants during their growth and potentially affect the quality safety and nutritional quality of agricultural products for human consumption. However, few reports are available on the effects of microplastics on seed germination, seedling growth, and physiological characteristics. Simultaneously, whether microplastics pose a risk to human health in soil-plant systems and through food chains remains to be further explored.

Microplastics may enter the human body through the food chain and harm the health, but researches on the mechanism of microplastics entering plants and the migration, distribution, and transformation of microplastics in plants, and some related fields are inadequate. Therefore, less attention has been paid to plant cultivation and safety in the field of microplastics pollution. In short, the research on the harmful effects of microplastics in the environment on plants and even human health is still in its infancy, and more comprehensive and systematic studies are needed.

### **Acknowledgments**

This study was supported by the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 2019JJ50980), the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (18B166), the Training Program for Excellent Young Innovators of Changsha (kq1905065), the Youth Scientific Research Foundation of CSUFT (QJ2017001A).

### References

1. Wang Y, Li M, Yu H, et al. (2019) Research progress on adsorption and desorption of organic pollutants in the environment by microplastics Chin J Eco-Agric 14: 23-30.

- 2. Thompson RC, Olsen Y, Mitchell RP, et al. (2004) Lost at Sea: Where is all the plastic? Science 304: 838.
- Mason SA, Garneau D, Sutton R, et al. (2016) Microplastic pollution is widely detected in US municipal wastewater treatment plant effluent. Environ Pollut 218: 1045-1054.
- 4. Geyer R, Jambeck JR, Law KL (2017) Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Sci Adv 3: e1700782.
- Lusher A, Hollman P, Mendoza-Hill J (2017) Microplastics in fisheries and aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper, Italy, 615.
- 6. Rochman CM (2018) Microplastics research from sink to source. Sci 360: 28-29.
- 7. Koelmans AA, Gouin T, Thompson R, et al. (2014) Plastics in the marine environment. Environ Toxicol Chem 33: 5-10.
- Deng Y, Lei K, An L, et al. (2018) Countermeasures for source control of plastic waste and microplastic pollution in China. Bull Chin Aca Sci 33: 1042-1051.
- Machado AAS, Kloas W, Zarfl C, et al. (2018) Microplastics as an emerging threat to terrestrial ecosystems. Glob Change Biol 24: 1405-1416.
- Jiang X, Chen H, Liao Y, et al. (2019) Ecotoxicity and genotoxicity of polystyrene microplastics on higher plant Vicia faba. Environ Pollut 250: 831-838.
- Alimi OS, Budarz JF, Hernandez LM, et al. (2018) Microplastics and nanoplastics in Aaquatic environments: Aggregation, deposition, and enhanced contaminant transport. Environ Sci Technol 52: 1704-1724.
- Ng E-L, Lwanga EH, Eldridge SM, et al. (2018) An overview of microplastic and nanoplastic pollution in agroecosystems. Sci Total Environ 627: 1377-1388.
- De Souza Machado AA, Lau CW, Till J, et al. (2018) Impacts of microplastics on the soil biophysical environment. Environ Sci Technol 52: 9656-9665.
- Zhu D, Chen QL, An XL, et al. (2018) Exposure of soil collembolans to microplastics perturbs their gut microbiota and alters their isotopic composition. Soil Biol Biochem 116: 302-310.
- 15. Horton AA, Walton A, Spurgeon DJ, et al. (2017) Microplastics in freshwater and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding to identify the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. Sci Total Environ 586: 127-141.

- Lin S, Reppert J, Hu Q, et al. (2009) Uptake, translocation, and transmission of carbon nanomaterials in rice plants. Small 5: 1128-1132.
- Zhao Q, Ma C, White JC, et al. (2017) Quantitative evaluation of multi-wall carbon nanotube uptake by terrestrial plants. Carbon 114: 661-670.
- Bandmann V, Müller JD, Köhler T, et al. (2012) Uptake of fluorescent nano beads into BY2-cells involves clathrindependent and clathrin-independent endocytosis. FEBS Letters 586: 3626-3632.
- 19. Li L, Zhou QX, Yin N, et al. (2019) Uptake and accumulation of microplastics in an edible plant. Chin Sci Bull 64: 928-934.
- Li L, Luo Y, Li R, et al. (2020) Effective uptake of submicrometre plastics by crop plants via a crack-entry mode. Nat Sustain 3: 929-937.
- Lwanga EH, Vega JM, Quej VK, et al. (2017) Field evidence for transfer of plastic debris along a terrestrial food chain. Sci Rep 7: 14071.
- Qi Y, Yang X, Pelaez AM, et al. (2018) Macro- and micro- plastics in soil-plant system: Effects of plastic mulch film residues on wheat (Triticum aestivum) growth. Sci Total Environ 645: 1048-1056.
- Liao YC, Nazygul J, Li M, et al. (2019) Effects of microplastics on the growth, physiology, and biochemical characteristics of wheat (Triticum aestivum). Huan Jing Ke Xue 40: 4661-4667.
- 24. Lian JP, Shen MM, Liu WT (2019) Effects of microplastics on wheat seed germination and seedling growth. J Agro-Environ Sci 38: 737-745.
- 25. Bosker T, Bouwman LJ, Brun NR, et al. (2019) Microplastics accumulate on pores in seed capsule and delay germination and root growth of the terrestrial vascular plant Lepidium sativum. Chemosphere 226: 774-781.
- 26. Jiang X, Tian L, Ma Y, et al. (2019) Quantifying the bioaccumulation of nanoplastics and PAHs in the clamworm Perinereis aibuhitensis. Sci Total Environ 655: 591-597.
- Teng J, Zhao J, Zhang C, et al. (2020) A systems analysis of microplastic pollution in Laizhou Bay, China. Sci Total Environ 745: 140815.

DOI: 10.36959/339/359

**Copyright:** © 2021 Cao Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

